Influence of UV-B Radiation on Initial Development and Control of Pathogens in Soybean Seeds

Nidia Paloma Colmán Esquivel, Daisy Leticia Ramirez, Ernesto Jose Bernal Gini, Lucia Simeona Rios Valiente, José De los Santos Sanchez Martinez, Nathalia Darminia Aceval Arriola

Universidad Nacional del Este, Paraguay

ABSTRACT

Ultraviolet-B (UV-B) irradiation is being explored as a non-chemical approach for seed sanitation with potential effects on early vigor. In soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.), preserving both physiological and sanitary seed quality is critical for stand establishment. We evaluated UV-B at a fixed irradiance (1.5 W m^{-2}) across five durations (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 min), delivering cumulative doses of 0.9, 1.8, 2.7, and 3.6 kJ m^{-2} (0.009, 0.018, 0.027, 0.036 J cm^{-2}). Endpoints included viability (germination, dead seeds), vigor (first count, dry mass), seedling performance (shoot and root length, fresh mass), and sanitary status (blotter test). Responses were dose-dependent with hormetic features: prolonged exposures (30–40 min) markedly reduced germination (78% in the control vs. 46% and 28%), and the observed increases in shoot and root length at 30 min occurred only among surviving seedlings, providing no agronomic advantage due to the concomitant loss of viability. Total pathogen incidence (seeds with ≥1 detectable pathogen) decreased from 98% (control) to 55% at 40 min, with the largest reductions in Rhizopus spp. and visible bacterial colonies. Given the trade-off between sanitation and viability, 10–20 min (0.9–1.8 kJ m^{-2}) emerges as a practical operational window that maintains acceptable germination while beginning to reduce pathogen load. Because blotter tests cannot resolve bacterial identity, bacterial results are reported as visible colonies only. UV-B should be considered a complementary, sustainable tool within integrated seed-borne disease management rather than a stand-alone replacement.

Keywords: Glycine max; germination; hormesis; seed treatment; seed-borne pathogens.

INTRODUCTION

In Paraguay, soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is a strategic crop, with an estimated production of 10.1 million tons in the 2023/24 season, contributing substantially to the

IN PRESS

national GDP (CAPECO, 2025). Beyond physiological vigor and viability, seed sanitary status is decisive for stand establishment and field performance, guiding decisions in seed health programs (Scariot et al., 2017; Nóbrega & do Nascimento, 2020; Rey et al., 2009). Among seed-associated fungi of major economic relevance in soybean are *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (white mold), *Rhizoctonia solani* (damping-off and root rot), *Aspergillus* spp. (including aflatoxin-producing *A. flavus* linked to storage), and *Rhizopus* spp., frequently detected as post-harvest/storage contaminants (Goulart, 2018; Anwar et al., 2013). These pathogens depress vigor and germination and can introduce primary inoculum into soil, favoring early-season epidemics (Goulart, 2018; Anwar et al., 2013; Nóbrega & do Nascimento, 2020).

Ultraviolet-B (UV-B) irradiation has emerged as a non-chemical seed-sanitation approach with potential ancillary effects on seedling performance. While UV-B can be phytotoxic depending on dose (irradiance × exposure time), controlled exposures may induce defense responses and reduce pathogen incidence (Winter & Rostas, 2008; Pournavab et al., 2019; Loconsole & Santamaria, 2021). Mechanistically, UV-B can directly damage microbial propagules and nucleic acids and also modulate plant defense signaling—e.g., activating phenylpropanoid pathways and phytoalexins—thereby enhancing disease resistance (Rastogi et al., 2010; Vanhaelewyn et al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2021). Beyond direct photolesions, plant perception of UV-B is mediated by the UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) photoreceptor, which triggers downstream signaling and cross-talk with phytohormones and the phenylpropanoid pathway, culminating in the accumulation of phytoalexins and pathogenesis-related proteins under calibrated exposures (Jenkins, 2009; Vanhaelewyn et al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2021). Empirical evidence shows dose- and species-dependent effects of UV radiation on germination and early growth across crops, including soybean and common bean, and selective inactivation/suppression of seed-associated fungi such as *Aspergillus* and *Rhizoctonia* (Stefanello et al., 2023; Hernandez-Aguilar et al., 2021; Al-Gabr et al., 2013; Pournavab et al., 2019).

Despite these advances, seed-focused UV-B studies in soybean remain limited, particularly under tropical/subtropical conditions relevant to Paraguay (Pournavab et al., 2019; Loconsole & Santamaria, 2021). Moreover, the phytopathological implications—i.e., balancing sanitary gains against potential losses in germination—are not fully

IN PRESS

resolved (Winter & Rostas, 2008; Meyer et al., 2021). Here, we evaluate graded UV-B doses (operationalized as exposure time at fixed irradiance) on (i) seed physiological quality and (ii) total pathogen incidence, defined as the percentage of seeds carrying ≥1 detectable pathogen in a standardized blotter test (Goulart, 2018). We test the hypothesis that there exists a practical exposure window that delivers meaningful sanitary control while preserving acceptable germination, positioning UV-B as a complementary tool within integrated seed disease management (Scariot et al., 2017; Nóbrega & do Nascimento, 2020; Rey et al., 2009).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental site and plant material

The study was conducted at the Seed Laboratory, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Universidad Nacional del Este (UNE), Alto Paraná, Paraguay, between September and December 2024. Commercial soybean (*Glycine max* L.) seeds, cultivar CM 422 "Milagrosa," were used. A total of 1,000 seeds were evaluated across five UV-B exposure times (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 min) and four replicates. Each replicate comprised 50 seeds and constituted the experimental unit, following ISTA (2017) rules.

UV-B radiation treatment

A completely randomized design with five exposure durations and four replicates was used. Control seeds (0 min) received no irradiation, and each treatment was applied independently. UV-B radiation was supplied by a compact lamp (nominal 15 W) emitting in the 280–320 nm range. Irradiance at seed level was held at 1.5 W m^{-2} (0.15 mW cm^{-2}), verified with a digital radiometer. The lamp was warmed up for 5 min prior to each run to stabilize output. Seeds were placed in Gerbox™ containers at 15 cm from the source and spaced to avoid overlap. The cumulative UV-B dose was calculated as H (J m^{-2}) = E (W m^{-2}) × t (s), with E = 1.5 W m^{-2} and exposure times of 10, 20, 30, and 40 min, yielding 0.9, 1.8, 2.7, and 3.6 kJ m^{-2} (i.e., 0.009, 0.018, 0.027, 0.036 J cm^{-2}).

Physiological quality assessment

Immediately after irradiation, seeds were placed on Germitest® paper, moistened with distilled water to 2.5× paper dry mass, and rolled (50 seeds per roll; 4 rolls per treatment, totaling 200 seeds per treatment). Rolls were incubated at 25 ± 2 °C in a germination chamber (ISTA, 2017).

The variables evaluated were:

a) First Germination Count (FGC): percentage of normal seedlings at 5 days after sowing (DAS), scored per ISTA (2017) criteria.
b) Germination Percentage (G%): Final count performed at 8 days after sowing (ISTA, 2017), expressed as the percentage of normal seedlings.
c) Dead Seeds Percentage (DS%): seeds failing to initiate germination or producing non-viable tissues at the final count.
d) Seedling length: shoot length (SL) and root length (RL) measured at 8 DAS on 10 normal seedlings per replicate, sampled sequentially left-to-right to avoid selection bias (mm ruler; reported in cm).
e) Fresh and dry mass: at 8 DAS, 10 normal seedlings per replicate. Fresh mass was weighed immediately. For dry mass, shoots and roots (including cotyledons) were dried at 65 °C (forced-air oven) to constant weight (~72 h). Masses were recorded on a precision balance.

Normal/abnormal seedling definitions followed ISTA (2017).

Sanitary quality assessment

Seed health was assessed using the Blotter-test method (BRASIL, 2009). For each treatment, 200 seeds (4 × 50) were pre-chilled at −20 °C for 24 h to suppress germination and favor fungal expression, then incubated for 7 days at 25 ± 2 °C under a 12 h photoperiod (fluorescent light) in a BOD chamber. Three variables were recorded: (i) pathogen-free seeds (%), the proportion of seeds without detectable microbial growth; (ii) total pathogen incidence (%), the proportion of seeds carrying ≥1 detectable pathogen (note: genera can co-occur on the same seed, thus per-genus incidences do not sum to total incidence); and (iii) incidence by genus (%) and colony counts for *Aspergillus* spp., *Rhizopus* sp., *Rhizoctonia* sp., *Sclerotinia* sp., and visible bacterial colonies.

Fungal characterization followed macroscopic and microscopic morphology (bright-field optical microscopy, ~400×), recording conidiophores, conidia, sporangia, mycelial features, and sclerotia. Identification was at genus level for routine screening; presumptive species were assigned only when diagnostic structures were present (e.g., *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* based on sclerotia; *Rhizoctonia solani* based on right-angle branching and septation). Bacterial presence was recorded as visible colonies on blotter arising from individual seeds; this approach supports detection but does not provide

IN PRESS

reliable genus- or species-level identification. Therefore, bacterial data are reported descriptively as “bacteria (visible colonies),” and no taxonomic inferences are made. Future work should employ selective media, biochemical tests, and/or molecular methods for resolution.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed in a completely randomized design with treatment (exposure time) as the fixed factor and replicate as the experimental unit. Proportions (first germination count, seed germination percentage, dead seeds percentage, pathogen-free seeds, and total incidence) were transformed ($\sqrt{x/100}$) to stabilize variance before analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means were compared by Tukey’s test ($\alpha = 0.05$). Dose-response trends were evaluated by linear and quadratic regressions on transformed data. Results in tables/figures are shown as back-transformed means with Tukey group letters. F-values, degrees of freedom, and p-values are provided in Supplementary Material (Tables S1-S7). Analyses were performed in SAS 15.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

The first germination count (FGC) (Figure 1A) and seedling dry weight (SDW) (Figure 1B) showed no significant treatment effects (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$), indicating that the UV-B doses tested did not compromise initial vigor or dry biomass accumulation. FGC ranged from 51% (0 min) to 55% (10 min), suggesting a non-significant low-dose stimulus. SDW tended to be higher in the control and at 30 min but did not differ by Tukey’s test ($\alpha = 0.05$).



Figure 1. Effect of UV-B radiation exposure on soybean seeds regarding first count of germination (%) (A) and seedling dry weight (g) (B) at different exposure times. Means (n = 4); different letters indicate Tukey’s test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

For the seed germination percentage (G%) (Table 1; Figure 2), the response was non-linear. Germination rose numerically at 10 min (87%) versus the control (79%) but without statistical separation and then declined sharply for longer exposures: 44% at 30 min and 28% at 40 min (ANOVA, $F_{4,15} = 29.58$, p < 0.0001 see Table S1). A simple linear fit captured the overall downward trend with increasing exposure time ($R^2 = 0.68$). The dead seeds (%) differed among treatments (ANOVA, $F_{4,15} = 5.93$, p = 0.0046 see Table S2); 10 min had the lowest mean (9%), while 0, 20, 30 and 40 min formed a higher, statistically similar group (Table 1).

Table 1. Seed germination percentage (%) and dead seeds percentage (%) of soybean seeds exposed to UV-B (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 min).

Treatment (min)	Germination (%)	Dead Seeds (%)
0 (Control)	79A	20A
10	87A	9B
20	80B	20A
30	44C	17A
40	28D	18A

Means (n = 4); different letters indicate Tukey's test (α = 0.05).



Figure 2. Seed germination (%) of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) after UV-B exposure at 1.5 W m^{-2} for 0, 10, 20, 30, or 40 min. Points show means (n = 4); different letters indicate Tukey's HSD (α = 0.05). A linear regression line summarizes the overall decreasing trend with time (R^2 = 0.68).

Exposure significantly affected seedling growth. Shoot and root length peaked at 30 min (both $p < 0.0001$; see Tables S3–S4). However, this elongation reflects selective growth among surviving seedlings after a 54% reduction in germination relative to the control (Figure 2). Thus, these physiological responses do not translate into a net agronomic benefit at the population level.



Figure 3. Shoot length (A) and root length (B) of seedlings as a function of exposure time (0, 10, 20, 30, and 40 minutes). Means (n = 4); different letters indicate Tukey's test (α = 0.05).

Regarding the variables Seedling Fresh Mass (FM) (Figure 4A) and Seedling Dry Mass (DM) (Figure 4B), significant differences were observed only in Fresh Mass (Figure 4A) (ANOVA; $F_{(4,15)} = 14,73$; $p < 0,0001$, see Table S5). The 10-minute radiation exposure was statistically different from the other treatments, presenting significantly lower values in Fresh Mass content (Figure 4A). This indicates that the 10-minute exposure significantly reduced the Fresh Mass, while the other times (0, 20, 30, and 40 min) maintained values similar to the control.

Conversely, for the Seedling Dry Mass variable (Figure 4B), no significant differences were observed among the UV-B light exposure times. Therefore, it can be affirmed that UV-B radiation, under the times evaluated, did not consistently affect the plant's dry mass, but may reduce the fresh mass when applied for 10 minutes.



Figure 4. Seedling fresh mass (A) and seedling dry mass (B) of soybean seedlings exposed to UV-B radiation. Means (n = 4); different letters indicate Tukey's test (α = 0.05).

For seed health, we defined: (i) pathogen-free seeds (%) = proportion of seeds without detectable growth; (ii) total pathogen incidence (%) = proportion of seeds with ≥1 detectable pathogen; and (iii) incidence by genus (%) and colony counts. UV-B significantly increased pathogen-free seeds and reduced total pathogen incidence (ANOVA for pathogen-free seeds, $F_{4,15} = 41.60$, $p < 0.0001$ see Table S6). Pathogen-free seeds rose from 2% (0 min) to 45% (40 min), while total incidence fell from 98% to 55% (Figure 5). The most consistent reductions occurred at 30–40 min.



Figure 5. Pathogen-free seeds (%) and total pathogen incidence (%) in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) after UV-B exposure at 1.5 W m^{-2} for 0, 10, 20, 30, or 40 min. Values are means (n = 4); different letters within each response variable indicate Tukey's HSD ($\alpha = 0.05$). "Total incidence" denotes the percentage of seeds with ≥ 1 detectable pathogen by blotter test; per-genus incidences do not sum to this total because multiple genera can co-occur on a single seed.

Five microbial categories were detected: *Aspergillus spp.*, *Sclerotinia sp.*, *Rhizoctonia sp.*, *Rhizopus sp.*, and bacteria (Figures 6 and 7).

Only *Sclerotinia sp.* colony counts differed significantly across times (Figure 6B) (ANOVA; $F_{(4,15)} = 3{,}65$; $p < 0{,}0287$, see Table S7). They were highest at 10 min (7 colonies per 50 seeds) and lowest at 30 min (1 colony), suggesting colonization intensity is time-dependent for this pathogen. Other genera and bacteria varied numerically but without significant differences in colony counts.

IN PRESS



Figure 6. Number of colonies, including *Aspergillus spp. (*A)*, Sclerotinia sp. (*B)*, Rhizoctonia sp. (*C)*, Rhizopus sp. (*D) and bacteria *(*E), in soybean seeds exposed to UV-B radiation. Means (n = 4); different letters indicate Tukey's test (α = 0.05).

The incidence heatmap (Fig. 7) revealed selective UV-B effects. At 0 min, *Rhizopus* sp. (22.5%) and bacteria (23.8%) predominated; at 30 min, *Rhizopus* sp. dropped to 8.5% and bacteria to 19.0% (both < control), with additional decreases or stability at 40 min.



Figure 7. *Heatmap of the mean incidence (%) of pathogens detected in soybean seeds exposed to UV-B radiation.*

UV-B imposed a clear trade-off: strongest sanitary gains at 30–40 min coincided with major germination losses. Shorter exposures (10–20 min) provided a better balance between germination, dead seeds, and microbial reduction.

DISCUSSION

Ultraviolet-B (UV-B) produced contrasting physiological and sanitary responses that were governed by exposure time (cumulative dose). The stability of first germination count and seedling dry mass at ≤ 20 min indicates that moderate UV-B did not impair early vigor, which agrees with reports in soybean and other crops showing that calibrated doses can leave early establishment unaffected (Pournavab et al., 2019; Stefanello et al., 2023). By contrast, longer exposures depressed establishment, as reflected by the sharp declines in germination at 30 and 40 min, in line with time-dependent phytotoxic effects reported for diverse species (Pournavab et al., 2019; Ozel et al., 2021; Stefanello et al., 2023).

A prominent feature of the dataset was the peak in shoot and root length at 30 min. Although this pattern is compatible with a hormetic-like stimulation (Darras et al., 2019), it constitutes a clear case of survivor bias: elongation at 30 min occurred only among the seedlings that survived a treatment that simultaneously reduced germination to 46% (and to 28% at 40 min). These survivor-only gains do not translate into a net agronomic benefit and cannot offset the stand penalty at ≥ 30 min.

IN PRESS

On the sanitary side, UV-B consistently increased the proportion of pathogen-free seeds and reduced total pathogen incidence. Here, “total incidence” denotes the percentage of seeds bearing at least one detectable pathogen in the blotter test; because multiple genera may co-occur on a single seed, the sum of per-genus incidences is not expected to equal this total (Goulart, 2018). Reductions were most evident for *Rhizopus* spp. and visible bacterial colonies. The likely mechanisms are dual: (i) direct effects on microbial structures (e.g., nucleic acids and spores), which reduce conidial viability under UV exposure (García-Cela et al., 2016; Ikram & Dawar, 2017), and (ii) host-mediated effects, whereby UV-B can modulate defense signaling and promote the accumulation of phenylpropanoid-derived compounds and phytoalexins, enhancing disease resistance when exposures are properly calibrated (Jenkins, 2009; Vanhaelewyn et al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2021; Loconsole & Santamaria, 2021). Together with prior observations of species- and dose-dependent responses in soybean and common bean (Stefanello et al., 2023; Hernandez-Aguilar et al., 2021; Al-Gabr et al., 2013; Pournavab et al., 2019), the present data support a selective sanitizing potential of UV-B against storage/opportunistic organisms.

Importantly, the blotter test enables detection of bacterial growth but not reliable taxonomic identification; our bacterial results are therefore reported as “visible colonies” only and should be interpreted as relative suppression rather than species-specific control (BRASIL, 2009; Goulart, 2018). Future work using selective media, biochemical assays, and/or molecular methods is needed to resolve bacterial and fungal taxa at species level and to refine epidemiological inferences (Meyer et al., 2021).

From an integrated disease-management perspective, 10–20 min emerges as a practical operational window: it preserves acceptable viability while initiating pathogen-load reduction. In commercial practice, such exposures could complement registered fungicide treatments—potentially allowing lower chemical doses—or serve as a standalone option in organic lots where synthetic inputs are restricted (Scariot et al., 2017; Nóbrega & do Nascimento, 2020; Rey et al., 2009; Loconsole & Santamaria, 2021). By contrast, 30–40 min should be regarded as high-intensity disinfection that achieves stronger sanitization at the cost of prohibitive stand losses and is therefore unsuitable for routine sowing. This positioning is consistent with broader non-chemical strategies that

IN PRESS

leverage calibrated UV regimes to enhance biotic resistance while avoiding vigor penalties (Mmbando, 2023; Winter & Rostas, 2008).

CONCLUSION

UV-B treatment of soybean seed entails a clear trade-off: sanitization improves as cumulative dose increases, whereas physiological viability drops sharply beyond 20 minutes of exposure. At the tested irradiance (1.5 W m^{-2}; 0.9–1.8 kJ m^{-2} for 10–20 min), the 10–20 minute interval provides the most practical balance—initiating pathogen reduction while maintaining acceptable germination. Apparent elongation responses observed at 30 minutes occurred only among surviving seedlings and therefore do not translate into an agronomic benefit at the population level. Exposures of 30–40 minutes, although more effective for disinfection, are unsuitable for crop establishment due to severe losses in germination. Future work should (i) resolve fungi and bacteria to species level using culture-based and molecular methods, (ii) optimize dose delivery and irradiation geometry to ensure uniform seed surface exposure, and (iii) test UV-B in combination with biological control agents or with reduced-rate chemical fungicides within an integrated, non-chemical-forward disease-management program.

REFERENCES

Al-Gabr, H. M., T. Zheng and X. Yu. 2013. Inactivation of Aspergillus flavus in drinking water after treatment with UV irradiation followed by chlorination. Science of the Total Environment, 463: 525-529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.06.065

Anders, K. and L. O. Essen. 2015. The family of phytochrome-like photoreceptors: diverse, complex and multi-colored, but very useful. Current Opinion in Structural Biology, 35: 7-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2015.07.005

Anwar, S. A., S. M. Khan, A. Rauf and S. Ibrahim. 2013. Mycoflora associated with stored seeds of soybean. Mycopath, 11: 85–90. http://mycopath.pu.edu.pk/

Ballaré, C. L., M. M. Caldwell, S. D. Flint, S. A. Robinson and J. F. Bornman. 2011. Effects of solar ultraviolet radiation on terrestrial ecosystems. Patterns, mechanisms, and interactions with climate change. Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences, 10: 226-241. https://doi.org/10.1039/c0pp90035d

CAPECO. 2025. Cámara Paraguaya de Exportadores y Comercializadores de Cereales y Oleaginosas. https://capeco.org.py/

IN PRESS

Carrasco-Ríos, L. 2009. Efecto de la radiación ultravioleta-B en plantas. Idesia (Arica), 27: 59-76. http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-34292009000300009

Cheang, W. K., S. S. S. S. Ahmad, S. R. S. S. Ahmad and M. M. M. Ahmad. 2024. Synergistic Effects of UV-B and UV-C in Suppressing Sclerotinia sclerotiorum Infection in Tomato Plants. Journal of Crop Health, 76: 1383-1402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-024-01033-4

Darras, A. I., A. Vlachodimitropoulou and C. Dimitriadis. 2019. Regulation of corm sprouting, growth and flowering of pot Freesia hybrida L. plants by cold and UV-C irradiation forcing. Scientia Horticulturae, 252: 110-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.03.045

Debeaujon, I., L. Lepiniec, M. L. Pourcel and J. M. Routaboul. 2018. Seed coat development and dormancy. Annual Plant Reviews online, 27: 25-49. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119312994.apr0276

Escalona, V. H., J. Aguayo, P. Martínez-Hernández and F. Artés. 2010. UV-C doses to reduce pathogen and spoilage bacterial growth in vitro and in baby spinach. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 56: 223–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2010.01.008

Fardhani, D. M., S. J. Yun, K. S. Park and J. S. Lee. 2022. Ultraviolet-B irradiation induces resistance against powdery mildew in cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) through a different mechanism than that of heat shock-induced resistance. Agronomy, 12: 3011. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12123011

Foroughbakhch Pournavab, R., J. L. Hernández-Piñero and M. A. Alvarado-Vázquez. 2019. Ultraviolet radiation effect on seed germination and seedling growth of common species from Northeastern Mexico. Agronomy, 9: 269. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9060269

Fotouh, M. A., A. M. El-Sawy and S. M. El-Sayed. 2019. Enhancement of resistance against Rhizoctonia solani by glycine betaine and UV-C radiation in green bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 27: 1829-1841. https://doi.org/10.21608/ajs.2019.15060.1063

García-Cela, M. E., S. Ramos-García, V. Sanchis and S. Marín. 2016. Conidia survival of Aspergillus section Nigri, Flavi and Circumdati under UV-A and

IN PRESS

UV-B radiation with cycling temperature/light regime. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 96: 2249-2256. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7343

Goulart, A. C. P. 2018. Fungos em sementes de soja: detecção, importância e controle, 1ª ed. Embrapa Agropecuária Oeste, Dourados, MS, Brasil. https://www.embrapa.br/agropecuaria-oeste

Heinze, M., S. Neugart, M. Schreiner, S. Baldermann, H. P. Kleyhorst, R. Zrenner and A. Krumbein. 2018. Effects of developmental stages and reduced UVB and low UV conditions on plant secondary metabolite profiles in pak choi (Brassica rapa subsp. chinensis). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 66: 1678–1692. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b03996

Hernandez-Aguilar, C., A. Dominguez-Pacheco, J. L. Valderrama-Bravo and C. Cruz-Orea. 2021. Characterization of bean seeds, germination, and phenolic compounds of seedlings by UV-C radiation. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, 40: 642-655. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10125-0

Hideg, É., M. A. Jansen and Å. Strid. 2013. UV-B exposure, ROS, and stress: inseparable companions or loosely linked associates? Trends in Plant Science, 18: 107-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.09.003

Ikram, N. and S. Dawar. 2017. Efficacy of wild plant parts in combination with UV irradiation in the control of root rot fungi. Walailak Journal of Science and Technology, 14: 225-234. https://wjst.wu.ac.th/index.php/wjst/article/view/2009

ISTA. 2017. International Rules for Seed Testing, 2nd ed. International Seed Testing Association, Zurich, Switzerland. https://www.seedtest.org

Jenkins, G. I. 2009. Signal Transduction in responses to UV-B radiation. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 60: 407–431. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092953

Liu, B., X. B. Liu, Y. S. Li and S. J. Herbert. 2013. Effects of enhanced UV-B radiation on seed growth characteristics and yield components in soybean. Field Crops Research, 154: 158-163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.08.006

Liu, P., Q. Li, Y. Li, H. Yu and W. Jiang. 2017. Effect of UV-B radiation treatments on growth, physiology and antioxidant systems of cucumber seedlings in

IN PRESS

artificial climate chamber. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering, 33: 181–186. https://doi.org/10.11975/j.issn.1002-6819.2017.17.024

Loconsole, D. and P. Santamaria. 2021. UV Lighting in horticulture: A sustainable tool for improving production quality and food safety. Horticulturae, 7: 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7010009

Ma, M., Q. Wang, M. Hong, J. Peng and G. Xu. 2018. Effects of UV-B radiation on the isoflavone accumulation and physiological-biochemical changes of soybean during germination: Physiological-biochemical change of germinated soybean induced by UV-B. Food Chemistry, 250: 259–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.051

Meyer, P., B. Van De Poel and B. De Coninck. 2021. UV-B light and its application potential to reduce disease and pest incidence in crops. Horticulture Research, 8: 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-021-00629-5

Mmbando, G. S. 2023. The recent relationship between ultraviolet-B radiation and biotic resistance in plants: a novel non-chemical strategy for managing biotic stresses. Plant Signaling & Behavior, 18: 2191463. https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2023.2191463

Mousavi, S. S., E. Karami and S. J. Honarmand. 2022. Two Iranian Scrophularia striata Boiss. ecotypes under UV-B radiation: Germination and initial growth perspective. South African Journal of Botany, 148: 460-468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2022.05.013

Nóbrega, J. S. and L. C. do Nascimento. 2020. Sanidade de sementes e sua influência no controle de fitopatógenos. Research, Society and Development, 9: e8101. http://dx.doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v9i10.8101

Oble, R. E. 2002. Effects of UV-irradiation on seed germination. Science of the Total Environment, 299: 173-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00232-2

Oliveira, L. L. B., J. G. L. Moraes, C. D. F. B. Silva, A. B. O. Sousa, N. M. D. V. Beleza and S. G. Jacinto. 2019. Influência da temperatura e radiação ultravioleta no desenvolvimento de isolados de Trichoderma spp. Revista

IN PRESS

Brasileira de Meteorologia, 34: 423-430. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-7786343048

Ozel, H. B., E. Varol and M. S. Varol. 2021. The effects of increased exposure time to UV-B radiation on germination and seedling development of Anatolian black pine seeds. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 193: 388. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09178-9

Rastogi, G., G. L. Coaker and J. H. J. Leveau. 2013. New insights into the structure and function of phyllosphere microbiota through high-throughput molecular approaches. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 348: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12225

Rey, M. S., N. B. Lima, J. Santos and C. R. Pierobom. 2009. Transmissão semente-plântula de Colletotrichum Lindemuthinum em feijão (Phaseolus vulgaris). Arquivos do Instituto Biológico, 76: 465-470. https://doi.org/10.1590/1808-1657v76p4652009

Rupiasih, N. N. and P. B. Vidyasagar. 2016. Effect of UV-C radiation and hypergravity on germination, growth and content chlorophyll of wheat seedlings. AIP Conference Proceedings, 1719: 030035. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4943730

SAS Institute. 2023. SAS/STAT 15.3 User's Guide. Release 15.3. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA. https://www.sas.com

Scariot, M. A., G. Tiburski, F. W. Reichert Júnior, L. L. Radünz and M. R. R. Meneguzzo. 2017. Moisture content at harvest and drying temperature on bean seed quality. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical, 47: 93-101. https://doi.org/10.1590/1983-40632016v4743135

Semenov, A., M. S. Sannikova and O. S. Sannikov. 2020. Effect of UV-C radiation on basic indices of growth process of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seeds in pre-sowing treatment. Acta Agriculturae Slovenica, 116: 49-58. https://doi.org/10.14720/aas.2020.116.1.1563

Stefanello, R., W. J. Da Silva Garcia and L. S. Dorneles. 2024. Radiação ultravioleta (UV-B) na germinação de sementes de aveia-branca, Sementes: foco em pesquisa sobre qualidade fisiológica e sanitária, Vol 2. Pantanal Editora,

IN PRESS

Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil. p. 107-116. https://doi.org/10.46420/9786585756280cap9

Stefanello, R., S. S. Ahmad and M. Ahmad. 2023. UV-B and UV-C radiation on the germination of soybean seeds. Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias, 18: e2964. https://doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v18i2a2964

Sun, L. H., Z. L. Sun and H. J. Sun. 2006. Effect of UV-B radiation on the growth and photosynthesis of cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) seedlings. Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Sinica, 21: 79–82. http://www.hnnykx.org.cn/

Vandenbussche, F., N. Yu, W. Li, L. Vanhaelewyn and M. Hamshou. 2018. An ultraviolet B condition that affects growth and defense in Arabidopsis. Plant Science, 268: 54–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.12.005

Vanhaelewyn, L., F. Vandenbussche, D. Van Der Straeten and J. J. W. A. Prinsen. 2016. Hormone-controlled UV-B responses in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany, 67: 4469–4482. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw261

Vendin, S., V. Strakhov and A. Manuilenko. 2023. Results of studies on the application of UV radiation for disinfecting the surface of soybean seeds from pathogenic microflora. BIO Web of Conferences, 67: 02027. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20236702027

Winter, T. R. and M. Rostas. 2008. Ambient ultraviolet radiation induces protective responses in soybean but does not attenuate indirect defense. Environmental Pollution, 155: 290–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.11.018

IN PRESS