




Available Online at ESci Journals

Journal of South Asian Studies

ISSN: 2307-4000 (Online), 2308-7846 (Print)
<http://www.escijournals.net/JSAS>



SYSTEMATIC ETHNIC CLEANSING: THE CASE STUDY OF ROHINGYA COMMUNITY IN MYANMAR

Yousuf Storai

International Center for Refugee and Migration Studies, Balochistan University of Information Technology Engineering and Management Sciences, Quetta, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT

This paper attempts to analyze the situation in Rakhine state of Myanmar with reference to Rohingya ethnic community. The paper primarily focuses, the state policies of Myanmar's since its inception with Rohingya community. Moreover, the role of counterpart agencies such as major political parties and military are also discussed in the paper. It argues that government machinery as a whole is systematically involved in weakening and expelling the Rohingya community. This systematic weakening is indirectly pushing the said community out from the country, which is called as ethnic cleansing. While the conceptual framework used in the paper is "exclusionary approach/concept" which is derived from the theory of national integration. In addition to this, the study includes structured interviews, which are conducted from international scholars and researchers as well, which are well up on the issue. These interviews also substantiated that Rohingya are frequently subject of abuses as well as persecution by the Myanmar government. Whereas, the purpose of paper is to understand the issue from its core, as it is one of the burning issue, due to which large number of Rohingya are assuming the status of refugee. Thus, the research will play significant role in the policy recommendations/formulations disk with regard to understanding and solving the long disagreement.

Keywords: Ethnic cleansing, Rohingya ethnic community, Military crackdowns, Rakhine.

INTRODUCTION

"The displacement of hundreds of thousands of members of Myanmar's Rohingya community can only be described as ethnic cleansing"

----- Antonio Guterres

Mass migration in shape of refugee is one of the emerging issue to the peace and security of the world. Due to it, millions of people across the globe are subject to forced migration in one or the other way. Whereas refugee and migration is most of the time product of inter communal riots, identity crisis, inter religious differences, nationalistic tendencies, plurality, and ethnic conflicts (Elena Fiddian-Qasmiyeh, 2014). Moreover, refugee influx also occurs when a state does not equally treat its polarized or heterogeneous society. While, such kinds of persecutions, we/which have led to migration have long history can be traced

back to the end of cold war. In addition to this, countries such as Pakistan, Somalia, Afghanistan, Bosnia, Rwanda, Indonesia, Srilanka and Philippine etc., have largely witnessed such worst kind of exodus migrations (Migration & Remittances Factbook, 2016). So, this makes Asia as one of the most discussed region in the debates related to migration and refugee's disagreement.

The same is the case of Rohingya; a Muslim minority group, living in Rakhine, the western side of Myanmar, previously it was called as Arkan. This ethnic group, which constitutes 4% population in Myanmar, is living in miserable conditions and their source of income is largely dependent upon fishing and agriculture (Special Issue on Growing Poverty, 2016). Moreover, their dominated areas are totally deprived off basic necessities of life, while the poverty rate in the area is 78% (Dutta, 2015). Not only this, even Rohingya do not possess any legal document of citizenship, due to this government does not own them and consider as

* Corresponding Author:

Email ID: yousuf.icrms@gmail.com

© 2017 ESci Journals Publishing. All rights reserved.

refugees in the country. Based on the immigrant or refugee status, they are highly disgusted and are often made scapegoat of every ill. As a result, this community does not have any role in the state building process as well as in the institutions of the state. While on other hand, sporadically clashes flare up with other communities in the country, notably with Buddhists. Therefore, each such clash mounts violence and gives birth to persecutions of Rohingya, in shape of death, injuries, hate, burning of property etc. As a result, such kind of violence further enlarges the gap of mistrust between Rohingya and Buddhists. So, these unending and unexpected waves of persecutions, force Rohingya to take shelter in the state sponsored camps

(Inside Myanmar) as Internally Displaced Persons (IDP's), while others fly to the neighboring countries of Myanmar. In short, due to the recent unrest in Myanmar, sparked in August 2017 have made more than 600,000 Rohingya subject to mass migration and majority of them have taken refuge in Bangladesh. Therefore, the overall connecting dots shows that major state actors are systematically involve in the weakening and purging of Rohingya out from the country. Thus, due to this systematic weakening, the Rohingya community in Myanmar is often subject of mass migration.

The primary cause of violence against Rohingya is the unsettled questions, which is regarding their identity and origin. At present, the Myanmar government considers them as illegal immigrants, which are living in country from decades rather than their citizens or nationals. Even the government has classified them as Bangladeshi, it is because Myanmar government opinions that these Rohingya share similar physical and cultural characteristics/traits with the people of Bangladesh. Further, government also endorses that their ancestors had migrated from Bangladesh and settled in Rakhine state during the British colonial rule (Nemoto, *The Rohingya Issue*, 1991). While on other hand, Bangladesh government refutes the claims of Burmese government and condemns the later government, for the use of force against the Rohingya people. In fact, it was the Ne Win regime (1962-1988), which enacted the Emergency Immigration Act in 1974, according to the act Rohingya were declared as foreigners, consequently they lost their national identity (Kipgen, 2014). Thus, the government considers Rohingya as illegal immigrants, so for this

reason most of the time government has adopted very anti approach in dealing them.

Generally, people belonging to Buddhists community in the country assume that Islam is an emerging threat to Myanmar. They fear that this community is infusing their ideology in the country, which with the passage of time will ultimately overshadow their state religion. Their fear is because, there are some armed groups, which are operating within the country and most of the time, found in terror incidents. Therefore, to justify their threat from Muslims Buddhists put the example of Rohingya Solidarity Organization (RSO); it is an armed organization of Muslims, which has taken the responsibilities of several coordinated attacks, in which Myanmar security personnel's have been targeted. Further, they believe that Rohingya are also having links with some global Jihadi organizations, whose aim is to promote Jihad in Myanmar against Buddhists somewhat like that, which is going in Afghanistan. So, based on the perceived threats to their religion, Buddhists since long are purposefully trying to get rid of Rohingya (O'Hara, 2015). Therefore, to shape their desired aims, these groups regularly hold anti Rohingya protests, sit in and relies in the country. Primarily their objectives by doing so, is to fuel hatred feelings against the persecuted minority group. Secondly, they act as pressure groups on government and finally they are shaping public opinions against Rohingya community.

The Myanmar's government has completely adopted the policy of marginalization with respect to small ethnic groups, living in the country. These small groups include the Muslims of Rakhine state, which constitutes somewhat 68% population in Rakhine and is highly affected from the state policy (Holliday, 2010). Whereas, the policy of marginalization has been practicing since long ago. Due to this, Rohingya are deprived from education, property rights, marriage, employment, free movement (travel) and other essentials of a society.

This research paper attempts to investigate Rohingya migration from Myanmar. It examines the causes of Rohingya Mass migration from Myanmar and determines the role of Myanmar's government with regard to Rohingya migration. Therefore, this study will be helpful for building consensus and understanding the unsettled issue from various perspectives. In addition to this, the study will be useful for policy makers concerning Rohingya issue. Finally, yet

importantly, it will act as baseline information for researchers as well as to students in future. This study is qualitative in nature, whereas semi-structured interviews are conducted from researchers and academicians. While thematic analysis is employed to analyze the data. The study is guided with the political philosophy of "Social Exclusion" as it helps to analyze the causes, effects and role of counter-parts involved in the conundrum.

LAND AND PEOPLE OF MYANMAR

Myanmar is an Asian country with a long history, which could be traced from the ancient Pagan Kingdom of 839 (Younas, 1994). The modern Myanmar liberated itself from the British colonial rule in 1948. The capital is Naypyidaw, population is 52.89 million people and area is 676,579 Sq km. It borders with China, India, Laos, Thailand and Bay of Bengal. (Dutta, 2015)

The country is having a parliamentary system with a bicameral legislature. In addition to this, the country is divided into somewhat 14 administrative units. Furthermore, Myanmar is an ethnically much diverse country with officially 135 recognized races dwelling in it, while few are unrecognized like Rohingya. The ethnic breakdown in the country is somewhat, Burman's 68%, Karen 7%, Chinese 3%, Shan 9%, Indian 2%, Rakhine 4%, Mon 2%, 5% other (Kiener, 2012). While on other hand, Buddhism with 87% is the largest religion in Myanmar, second comes Christianity 6.2% and then Islam with 4.3% (Kiener, 2012).

Arkan is one among the fourteen states of Myanmar, the very word Arkan is an Arabic word, derived from Rukn, which is singular, and it literally means "a pillar". The word Arkan highlights the five fundamentals of Islam, so it means, a place where five pillars of Islam follow. So, the word Arkan became popular for the region, when Muslims conquered it, somewhat around 1430 AD (Younas, 1994). There are two major ethnic communities, which are dwelling in the Arkan state, the Rohingya, who are Muslims, while the Maghs/Rakhines with Buddhist religion.

Although, both communities were living without any territorial demarcation but after the anti-Muslim riots of 1942, the Maghs moved towards the southern and Rohingya to the northern side of the Rakhine state. In addition to these two ethnicities, some other minority tribes like Chaws, Saaks, Mros, and Kons are also living in Arkan.

Arkan state is located in the north west of the country,

which is comprised of somewhat 20,000 square miles. Arkan has 369 miles coastal belt with Bay of Bengal, and geographically it is a mountainous narrow strip of land, most of the land is covered with thick forests, creeks and rivers are largely present (Younas, 1994). The said state has 176 miles border with Bangladesh, separated by one of the seven rivers of the province called river Naf, while Arkan Yoma mountain range divides it from rest of the Myanmar (Tha, 2007). On other hand, it has border with India too. This is the only Muslim majority province in the country, where out of the total 7 million Muslim population, half live in the said province.

A SHORT HISTORY OF ROHINGYA

The available literature traces the history of Rohingya somewhat from 8th century, where they were living in an independent kingdom called Arkan, the present day name for Arkan is Rakhine (Ullah, 2011). While the people of Arkan state came into a contact with Islam between 9th to 14th centuries through Arab traders, which sailed for trade purposes from Bengal to Arkan. Meanwhile, strong relations developed between the people of Bengal and Arkan state. In 1784, the then army of Burman King Bodawpaya captured Arkan and ruled it in a very tyrannical manner; as a result, thousands of Rohingya fled to Bengal and established a town. Later on, in 1790 British Diplomat, Hiram Cox was sent to the said town to assist Rohingya refugees and the town was later named after him. Even today large number of Rohingya is living in Cox bazar (Dulal, 2017). Interestingly, Arkan's politics entered into a new phase in 1824, when British captured Myanmar and made it part of United India. While during the Second World War, Japan invaded and pushed out British forces from Burma in 1942, with the retreat of British from Myanmar, Burmese attacked Muslim community because they viewed that Muslims benefited and privileged during colonial rule. After period of three years, British again retook Burma with the help of Rohingya and Burmese nationalist's fighters which led by Aung San. On the other hand, Rohingya were promised by British, that an independence status of Arkan state would be restored at the time of granting independence to Burma but British retreated and declared it as a province of newly independent state in 1948. However, Rohingya resisted against this design and wanted an independence status for their state; this was in fact the first point from where a rift emerged between Rohingya and other communities of the

Myanmar. Meanwhile Rohingya showed themselves as a rebellious, while government decided to suppress them. The first punishment on the behalf of government was seen as the remove from civil services. Later on in 1962, Burma Socialist Programme Party under the leadership of General Ne Win took over the government; he too adopted very stern approach in dealing with Rohingya (Nemoto, 1991). After few years of military rule in Myanmar, right in the year 1977 government launched operation Dragon King. Whereas the operation basically aimed to expel Rohingya out from the country. As a result of that operation somewhat 200,000 Rohingya fled to Bangladesh. So, during that mass exodus Rohingya largely blamed army for abuses, whereas army denied the allegations and wrongdoing. Furthermore, in 1982, new immigration law was acted out in Burma, which declared all those people illegal, who had migrated to Burma during the British rule (Nemoto, 1991).

In 1991, once again, the wave of violence against Rohingya in Rakhine soared up, where people became victim of rape and forced labour at the hands of Myanmar army, more than 250,000 Rohingyawere driven into other countries as refugees (Aung, 2017). Later on, the violence abated due to the repatriation deal, as a result 230,000 Rohingya had returned to Arkan state by 1997. However, the serenity in Arkan did not last long, as the violence in the state is spasmodic in nature. So in 2012 again ethnic riots between Rohingya and Buddhists reached its peak, in which more than 100 people were killed and again tens of thousands of people migrated to Bangladesh while hundreds in to internally displaced camps (Parnini, 2013). Later on in the year 2016 September, another cycle of violence broke out in, when Harakal-al-Yakin armed group attacked the post of border guards, in that incident nine solders were not only killed but also looted of their weapons. As usual, the government blamed Rohingya for the act and stated that that the group is harboured by them.

As cited, earlier that Rohingya conflict is spasmodic in nature or it is not going to end. Therefore, the latest violence sparked off on 25th of August 2017, when eleven soldiers were killed by the attack of armed men in the South of Maungdaw (Rakhine main northern town)(Ratcliffe, 2017). The responsibility of the attack was carried by the ArkanRohingya Salvation Army (ARSA); it was previously called as Faith Movement/

Harkat-ul-Yaqin. With this government once again got an excuse and launched crackdowns against Rohingya. Thus, due to persecutions, so far more the 6,00,000Rohingya have been fled from Rakhine to the other neighboring countries of Myanmar (Edroos, 2017).

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

National integration is in fact a very complex phenomenon. It is because unifying contrasting identities or poly ethnic societies under one government is really difficult because all groups want equal considerations in terms of cultural, economic, language, customs and political aspirations. So national integration is basically talks about the importance of balance among the heterogenic societies. Whereas, it is the prime duty of a state to build social cohesion by balanced policies (Integration of Second Generation Russians in, 2008).

National integration is a broad term and is addressed by the modernist and post-modernist school of thoughts. Generally, both post-modernist and modernist talk about national integration but in quite different ways. While the leading exponents of modernist approach are Karl and Vetik. They believe that national integration is possible only through an "assimilatory approach". Whereas, assimilatory approach talks about policies that focuses on uniting various ethnic communities into a single entity, which transfer their loyalties to state. Moreover, one can here recite the example of democratic multiculturalist states, where perfect integration exists. Further, this school of thought views that assimilation is the precondition for the smooth socio economic development of a state. So, such policies which lay stress over the force of cohesion in a society are simply called as an assimilatory approach. While on other hand as critique to this, post-modernists emerged led by Walker Connor. They expressed that assimilation policy is detrimental threat to the process of national integration. They argue that if state uses force to assimilate a minority group into a majority, then there is chances that such state could face ethnic war and turbulence (Connor, 1972). Therefore, they believe that national integration could be maintain by "exclusionary approach" only. Whereas, they view that conflicts in a state could be resolve and manage only by the process of eliminating ethnic minorities from state. Exclusionary approach includes policy of segregation, not allowing minority groups to

allow in the affairs of state, delineating them culturally and religiously. In addition to this a state in the pursuit of exclusionary approach never takes an initiative to accommodate minority groups neither does pay heed towards their concerns (Loury, 1999). So, with regard to practice of this approach, one can cite the example of Rwanda between 1990 to 1994, whereby it was largely carried out, due to which roundabout 800,000 people became victimized of it. Second, the policy of apartheid, which was practiced in South Africa for a long can also be cite in this regards (Hashmi, 2014).

Burma Registration Act of 1949: Right after Myanmar's independence in 1948, the government regarding authentic documents of citizenship, enacted the Burma Registration Act in 1949. According to this act, all people of the country were required to register themselves. For this, there were only two categories of certificates/documentations, which were given to them. The first was National Registration Cards (NRCs), these cards were given to residents of the country, while the second was Foreigner Registration Certificates (FRCs), which were to foreigners respectively (Simbulan, n.d.). So according to this act, Rohingya were given National Registration Cards and declared as citizens of the state. On the other hand, on the basis of NRC's, Rohingya had received National passports and traveled abroad especially to Makkah as pilgrims (Kipgen, 2013). Therefore, under international law, possession of passport or any other such document is the proof of nationality. Not even this, for several years Rohingya, on the basis of these cards elected members of Pyithu Hluttaw (House of the representatives).

While Ronan Lee describes the origin of Rohingya, as they are an overwhelmingly ethnic minority group, which often describe themselves as a Rohingya Muslim, they have a heritage in Myanmar living there from hundreds and hundreds of years. Rohingya have a distinct ethnic identity and conflict at the movement is the result of decade of systematic ethnic abuse by Myanmar government predates back to British colonial era (Lee, 2017).

CITIZENSHIP LAW OF 1982 AND ROHINGYA

Things became completely worsen, when in 1982, Myanmar's government under the leadership of Ne Win, took its first step to push Rohingya towards identity crisis. It was basically the enactment of Citizenship Law of 1982. According to law, there were three types of citizenships; Full, Associated and

Naturalized citizenship. Whereas the said law further highlighted that, Full citizenship is granted only to those people, which had been settled in Myanmar, prior to 1823 (British first occupation of the country)(Holliday, 2010). While associated citizenship is given to those who had entered and resided in the country before January, 1948. In addition to this, Naturalized citizenship is given to those individuals who can provide "conclusive evidence" and had entered Myanmar prior to 4 January 1948. So, almost all the Rohingya have been resident in Burma for that period of time and even people who arrived after Burmese independence of 1948, now their grand and grand children's will be entitled by citizenship but what is happening now is that the authorities of Myanmar are refusing to properly assess the citizenship claims of any, who says that they are Rohingya. Therefore, they are denied both the claim of Residency as well as of indigenous citizenship. So, Myanmar is not applying its law in a fair way (Lee, 2017). On other hand Ronan also believes that "government has excluded Rohingya from the list of ethnic groups that are considered as indigenous". Further, Myanmar's government believes that residency prior to 1823 entitles the group to be consider indigenous than the Rohingya are surely entitled to that right now that's been denied to them by the authorities and the authority treat them as they are migrants from other country and not entitled to the citizenships rights that they should have. Their rights with in the

Myanmar is badly restricted" (Lee, 2017). So in this way Rohingya were excluded from the Full, Associated, as well as Neutralized citizenship (Simbulan, n.d.). Thus, it is racism and religious prejudice due to which Myanmar does not consider Rohingya as their indigenous citizens (Lee, 2017).

ROLE OF MILITARY AND ROHINGYA

Military is one of the main actor in Myanmar and its role in politics cannot be undermined. Whereas it entered in the politics of the country right after few years of the independence and continued ruling country till the year 2010. Generally, military do not have a satisfactory record in terms of relations with civilians. The minority groups living in the country always criticize military for maltreatment towards them, similarly Rohingya is also one of the main ethnic community to whom military have adopted very anti approach.

When asked that why Myanmar's army has adopted a very punitive approach towards Rohingya?

She stated that "I disagree with the military's approach to the Rohingya and believe that they should grant citizenship to native born Rohingya and stop discriminating against them" (Activist, 2017).

In fact, military in Myanmar does not only want to run the country, they also want to maintain their current position in the country. Therefore, they are trying to slow down the process of reforms, because to remain in touch with the certain benefits and privileges, which they are enjoying since 1962 (Tarabay, 2017). On the basis of this, experts view that in fact, military is the real power in the country's politics, on other hand the political parties are having very nominal role. So, if one talks about its approach towards people of the country, one would not be wrong to say that military have adopted overall very stern approach in dealing with the masses. Military is also pursuing a long-term strategy to consolidate political support and control by scapegoating the Rohingya and promote a form of Burmese nationalism centered on Buddhism (Activist, 2017).

Whereas the military of country is led by Min Aung Hlaing, who is the commander in chief of the army. He has so far, launched several military operations against Rohingya, with the pretext of eliminating militants, who attacks government institutions for example, police stations. During the latest spark of violence that erupted in 2017, the commander in chief on his Facebook account also refused to accept Rohingya as their indigenous citizens. He has used the word "extremist Bengalis" to Rohingya (Mckirdy, 2017). Not only this, military have been accused of heinous crime and atrocities such as rape and gang rape. The special envoy of United Nations, Pramila Patten, who had visited the camps of Rohingya in Cox Bazar, told media that the country soldiers had "Systematically targeted" Rohingya women for sexual violence during the ethnic clashes (Myanmar soldiers systematically gang-raped Rohingya women: UN envoy, 2017).

United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC) have too criticized the Min Aung Hlaing and his army for deliberately targeting Rohingya in the Rakhine state and stated that the army have adopted a policy of "systemic discrimination" towards the Rohingya. While Min Aung Hlaing is accused of ethnic cleansing and human rights violations in general (Farmaner, 2017). Further, this news came to lime light, when Human

Rights Watch released satellite images of 62 villages. All of the villages were arson between August and September of 2017. In this regard the Human Rights Asia Director Phil Robertson state that based on field work, Burmese military is largely responsible for the act of incendiary in Rakhine state (Burma: Military Trenches Homes Near Border, 2017). Therefore, such an act of arson is ultimately forcing the Rohingya residents to flee. Furthermore, this says that it is an indirect tactic to ethnic cleansing (Alam, 2017).

Operation King Dragon (1978): Operation King Dragon king also known as Naga Min was launched by Burmese military in the year 1977 in collaboration with the immigration department of the country (Hussain, Rohingya Refugee in World Press , 1978). Government claimed that it was an effort to screen and register people before the upcoming national census, further to disarm the members of Rohingya Patriotic Front (RPF) from the area. The RPF was a group of armed Rohingya in Rakhine state. However, it was a lame excuse and aimed to expel Rohingya from the country. So, this operation continued for five months, in which more than one thousand army personnel participated in it. The operation pushed between 200,000 to 250,000 Rohingya from Myanmar to Bangladesh (Rahman, 2015). These migrated people were temporarily sheltered in the camps of Cox's bazar (Selth, 2009).

Meanwhile United Nations High Commissioner for Refugee (UNHCR) declared these migrated people as refugees and urged the government of Bangladesh to establish refugee camps for them.

Thus, these refugees were part of the military's ethnic cleansing campaign.

Operation Clean and Beautiful Country (1991): This operation was the second push to Rohingya community from Myanmar. The Operation Clean and Beautiful country was also known as Operation PyiThaya, started in 1991 and was conducted by Myanmar army in the northern Rakhine state between 1991 and 1992. Once again, this operation resulted the migration of somewhat 200,000 Rohingya to refugee camps in Bangladesh. Thus, it was another attempt to purge out Rohingya out of the country (Constantine, 2017).

Operation Clearance (2017)

In August 2017 armed men launched coordinated attacks over the security posts in Myanmar. In those attacks, somewhat 12 security officials were killed. While on other hand the responsibility of the attacks

was taken by the armed group, which is called as Faith Movement or ArakanRohingya Salvation Army previously known as HarakatulYaqeen (Myanmar: What sparked latest violence in Rakhine? 2017). In response to that, Myanmar government decided to launch operation against them (Mahmood, 2017). Whereas it was viewed that Rohingya are giving protection to these armed people. However, when asked about armed group, the answer was that an armed group called the Arkanese Salvation Army (ARSA) is present but there is no evidence to indicate that this armed group represent or have the support of the broader Rohingya population in Myanmar (Activist, 2017). So it again paved the way for violence in Rakhine state between Rohingya and Buddhists. Due to which thousands of Rohingya women and children fled from and made their way to Bangladesh as unwanted migrants (Albert, 2017). According to the UN reports, more than 600,000 Rohingya have been migrated to Bangladesh only, since August. Even still migration is going on (Report of OHCHR, Mission, 2017).

NATIONAL LEAGUE FOR DEMOCRACY

Nationalleague for democracy founded in September 1988, right after the 8888 uprising. It is the one of the liberal democratic platform in Myanmar, which advocates nonviolence towards multiparty democracy, national reconciliation and rule of the law. The NLD party was formed by Aung San Suu Kyi and had played significant role in the pro-democracy movement of the Myanmar (Farrelly, 2016).The party participated in the country's 1990 parliamentary elections and won 392 seats out of 492, on other hand the governing National Unity Party merely won 10 seats (Guyot, 1991). It was generally expected that the party will assume the office of Prime Minster, but the military government refused it. Not only this, government also started arresting members and crackdowns over the offices of NLD. Whereas large number of members fled from the country while others were put under house arrest. As a result of this, the exiled members of the party formed government in December 1990, which was called as National Coalition Government of the Union of Burma (NCGUB). Later on, after period of somewhat 10 years, finally in 2001 the government allowed NLD reopens their officers throughout the country. However, despite of it, the blinds man's bluff continued for several years between government and the members of NLD. During this period, dozen of the party

members were shot down and some resigned due to pressure and harassment from armed forces (Tatmadaw) (Hold on to Peace, 1990).

General election was held in the country in November 2010 but NLD boycotted because many of its members were not allowed to stand. While on other hand a splinter group emerged from NLD and which was National Democratic Front, it participated in the elections and secured only 3% votes. That time a newly formed party known as Union Solidarity and Development Party won the election with landslide victory. It was largely stated that military backed USDP in winning the election. Finally, after a series of negotiations, several of its members were freed an NLD re register itself in 2011 (Burma's 2010 Election: A Comprehensive Report, 2011). The next year in 2012, again general election was held, in that election NLD won 4 seats and assumed the role of opposition in the parliament. During this period party made their position and the people of Myanmar in confidence as a result of this, the party won the general election of November, 2015 with landslide victory in the both houses of the country (Cook, 2017). Thus, NLD formed majority government under the leadership of Aung San Suu Kyi and she emerged as the first state counsellor of the Myanmar. The party is in the government for last two years, However, it has taken no practical steps for the solution of Rohingya issue. Even Rohingya is facing the worst type of migration since last August. While their hopes for having a peaceful atmosphere in Rakhine in the government of NLD tarnished away. So, the present government rather than providing protection to the affected community is having allegations of supporting the groups, which persecutes Rohingya.

AUNG SAN SUU KYI SILENCE AND ROHINGYA ISSUE

Aung San Suu Kyi is an idol for democracy both inside and outside the Myanmar. She emerged as political activist in 1988 during anti-government uprising. Based on her struggle for democracy, Aung San Suu Kyi was awarded Nobel Peace prize in 1991 (Lee, 2014). In addition to this, she had served in the opposition in the past, while currently in majority in both houses of the country and serving as first state counselor of the country, which is as similar in power to Prime Minister. While Aung San Suu Kyi has been silent over the ongoing persecutions of Rohingya, since she is in power. Whereas her silence is causing significant

discomfort to Human Rights organizations. However, indicators show that she does not consider it as pressing issue. It is because on several occasions she has denied that ethnic cleansing of Rohingya are taking place (Rieffer, 2017). In a latest interview with BBC, which was given to Fergal Keane on 5th of April 2017, he asked Aung San Suu Kyi,

Do you ever worry that you will be remembered as the Champion of human rights, the Nobel laureate, who failed to stand up to ethnic cleansing in her own country?

No, I don't think ethnic cleansing is going on, because ethnic cleansing is too stronger. Fergal, I think there is lot of hostility between both communities. I stated that it is Muslims killing other Muslims, therefore it is not a matter of ethnic cleansing as you put it, it is matter of people on different sides of a divide and this divide we are trying to close up as best as possible and not to widen it further.

There are few reasons due to which Aung San Suu Kyi is silent over the conflict, first is the political calculation or the popular Burmese sentiments against the Rohingya, Second She is partner with the military in the current government and last is that she herself believes that Rohingya are not a rightful part of Myanmar's ethnic composition (Activist, 2017).

Therefore, Aung San Suu Kyi is button up on Rohingya migration out from the country. Further, she has not even made a clear statement over the ongoing communal violence. In fact, it is of various factors, the primary factor is of domestic electoral politics. Because the country for most of the time had been run by military due to which people are now fed-up of military rule, so keeping this factor in mind, she thinks that her party is having a bright future in the country. With this aim in mind, she avoids any steps regarding the issue, which endangers her popularity among the voters.

That is the population of ethnic Burman's, which is comprised of 65% as compared to Rohingya, which is only 4%. Therefore, she is playing cards with shrewd calculation avoiding any such step or comment that could alienate her party from 65% voters, on which the future of her party is dependent. In this respect, Muslims and the other reminder ethnic groups do not have much importance in the political sphere of Myanmar. Furthermore, a group like Rohingya, which even do not have identity and subject of statelessness,

have any importance or say in the country? Thus, due to political reasons or interests she is willfully overshadowing Rohingya issue since long (Lee, 2014).

ROLE OF UNION SOLIDARITY AND DEVELOPMENT PARTY

The Union Solidarity and Development Party is one of the political party, which is having greater role in the mainstream politics of Myanmar. The USDP registered in 2010 and is extension of Union Solidarity and Development Association. While the party USDP won the election of 2010 with landslide victory and formed the government. While in the next election of 2015, USDP emerged as the second majority party and currently assuming the role of opposition in the parliament. The party does not have any member from Rohingya ethnic group (Activist, 2017). Even though Union Solidarity and Development Party (USDP) led a coalition of somewhat 20 political parties; they signed the statement and put forward four demands. Which were; provision of tight security to government officers, enforcement of 2014 counter terrorism law, improving the general security of the region, rejecting the term Rohingya and using the term "Bengali" for them. They stated that they are migrants from Bangladesh, therefore labeled them as Bengalis, throughout the country (Aung, 2017). This action of USDP greatly upset the Rohingya ethnic group of Myanmar. Not only this, a referendum as well as second competitive parliamentary elections in the country were held in 2015, everyone was allowed to participate and cast vote. On other hand thousands of Rohingya have had their names in that list but Buddhists and other monk's groups came out in the streets and demanded the government not to allow Rohingya to participate in it. A Buddhist monk

NATIONAL CENSUS AND ROHINGYA

National census is one of the important elements for the prosperity and development of a state. The very word census means "a periodically, systematic process of recording and acquiring information about population in a state". The government of Myanmar has so far conducted only few national censuses in the country. Prior to the census of 1983, government of Myanmar introduced the Emergency Immigration Act of 1974. That act officially deprived Rohingya people from the citizenship rights, in addition to this government then launched Operation Nagamin. The said operation was aimed to expel Rohingya community indirectly from the

census, which was going to be held in the upcoming years. So, before launching operation, government alleged Rohingya for supporting the armed groups. Therefore, this was an excuse for government to start operation against Rohingya. So, the said act as well as the operation paved the way for the census of 1983 in which Rohingya were not counted (Burma's Troublesome Census, 2012).

Whereas the last census took place in collaboration with UN in the year 2014, in fact it was conducted after a period of 30 years. In that census, the Rohingya ethnic group was allowed to participate in it, but the Buddhists monks and other nationalist's groups warned the government that they would boycott the census if Rohingya were allowed to identify themselves with the same tag. As a result, the government gave the option to Rohingya to register themselves as "Bengali" only, otherwise they will not be counted in census (Albert, 2017). So, Rohingya were unable to participate unless they voluntarily identified as "Bengali" (Activist, 2017). As a result, Rohingya registered themselves as temporary citizens and were granted white cards. However, in February 2015, government took the decision and repelled the white cards of Rohingya. Thus, preventing them to vote in the general election as well as electing their member (Rohingya Briefing Report, 2015). Thus, not allowing Rohingya to national census and election in Myanmar means that government is denying their rights. Furthermore, it again clarifies that state is not ready to accept them as their indigenous citizens.

CONCLUSION

Thus, the policies adopted by Myanmar government with regard to Rohingya ethnic group show that, they are systematically purging out them from the country. Whereas the interviews and historical narratives both show that Rohingya are living in the country for generations. Moreover, it is also a fact that they are from Myanmar rather than India or Bangladesh. However, despite the fact they are denied from the right of citizenships as well as the other basic needs of life. Although after independence for some years Rohingyawere declared as citizens of the country but later on, there hard days begun, chiefly when government under the leadership of Ne Win started came to power. Since then, the government and other counter parts adopted an exclusionary approach towards the said ethnic group. While operation Dragon

king was in fact the first practical step taken by the state towards them, what we call it ethnic cleansing. Furthermore, with the passage of time several other military escalations against Rohingya have been taken place such as Operation Clean and beautiful country and the recent one which started in 2017 is called Operation Clearance. The primary aim of all these operations is to punish them to a larger level because in each operation thousands of Rohingya migrated from the country and assumed the status of Refugee. While at present huge number of Rohingya refugee are living in the camps of Bangladesh's Cox bazar. Besides the punitive approach of states military towards Rohingya the political parties are also having contribution in the conflict. It is because none of the party considers the ongoing persecution as an issue even they had demanded from the government not to allow them in the census. Apart from this, they consider Rohingya as migrants from Bangladesh. While Aung San Suu Kyi, who is consider as the champion as well as the icon of democracy is also silent over the long disagreement. She has not so far taken effective steps for the solution or normalization of the tense relations between Rohingya and Buddhist community. It is believing that her silence over the issue is because of vote bank and ethnic composition of the country. While on other hand in 2014 Rohingyawere not allowed to participate in the nationwide census, it was again blow to their rights. Later on, in the next year election was in Myanmar again the said group was not allowed to cast their vote. So, this shows that state with other actors are punishing Rohingya and their primary aim by doing so is to expel them out of the country. Therefore, preventing them from participating in voting process, scape-goating them for any ill and then launching military crackdowns against them is the signs that state is pursuing special tactics to get rid of these populations. Besides this the persecution such as arson, mob killing, torture, rape of Rohingya by the hands of Buddhists and other groups is unexplainable. Thus, these tactics by which, they are denied of their rights are directly compelling them to flee from the country is academically known as ethnic cleansing.

REFERENCES

- Activist, A. A. (2017, November 28). A Ethnic Cleansing; The Case study of Rohingya. (Y. Storai, Interviewer)
- Alam, J. (2017, September 15). Rohingya Muslims in

- Bangladesh watch their homes burn across the border in Burma. *The Star*. Retrieved from <https://www.thestar.com/news/world/2017/09/15/rohingyamuslims-in-bangladesh-watch-their-homes-burn-across-the-border-in-burma.html>
- Albert, E. (2017, October 4). The Rohingya Crisis. *Council on Foreign Relations*. Retrieved from <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/rohingya-crisis>
- Aung, G. V. (2017, March 3). The Contentious Politics of Anti-Muslim Scapegoating in Myanmar. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing) pp. 353-375
- Aung, T. T. (2017, August 15). Myanmar: USDP-Led Coalition Calls on Govt to Reject Rohingya Ethnicity. *Reliefweb*. Retrieved from <https://reliefweb.int/report/myanmar/myanmar-usdp-led-coalitioncalls-govt-reject-rohingya-ethnicity>
- Berlinger, K. H. (2017, September 19). Aung San Suu Kyi Breaks Silence on Rohingya, Sparks Storm of Criticism. (A. S. Kyi, Interviewer)
- Burma: Military Torch Homes Near Border. (2017, September 15). *Human Rights Watch*. Retrieved from <https://www.hrw.org/news/2017/09/15/burma-military-torches-homes-near-border>
- Burma's 2010 Election: A Comprehensive Report*. (2011). New York: Burma Fund UN Office
- Burma's Troublesome Census*. (2012, May 1). *Burmesica*. Retrieved from <http://www.burmesica.com/2012/05/01/burma-census/>
- Connor, W. (1972). Nation-Building or Nation-Destroying? *World Politics*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing) 319-355.
- Constantine, G. (2017, September 19). Bangladesh The Plight of Rohingya. *Pultizer Center*. Retrieved from <https://pulitzercenter.org/reporting/bangladesh-plight-rohingya>.
- Cook, A. D. (2017). Myanmar's National League of Democracy from Opposition to Office one Year On. *Rajaratnam School of IntenationalStudies*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 1-4.
- Dulal, A. O. (2017). Timeline: Being Rohingya in Myanmar, from 1784 to Now. *The Wire*.
- Dutta, P. V. (2015). Risks and vulnerabilities along the life cycle: Role for social protection in Myanmar. *World Bank Group Social Protection and Labour*, 24.
- Edroos, F. (2017, September 13). ARSA: Who are the ArakanRohingya Salvation Army? *Al Jazeera*. Retrieved from: <http://www.aljazeera.com/news/2017/09/myanmar-arakan-rohingya-salvation-army170912060700394.html>
- Ekin, A. (2017, September 29). Rohingya refugees share stories of sexual violence. *Al Jazeera*. Retrieved from <http://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/features/2017/09/rohingya-refugees-share-stories-sexualviolence-170929095909926.html>
- Elena Fiddian-Qasimiyeh, G. L. (2014). *The Oxford Handbook of Refugee and Forced Migration Studies*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Farmaner, M. (2017, September 29). Only One Person Can Stop Ethnic Cleansing In Myanmar, And It Isn't Aung San Suu Kyi. *The World Post*. Retrieved from https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/myanmar-rohingya-aung-san-suukyi_us_59b83175e4b02da0e13cf59f
- Farrelly, N. (2013). Discipline Without Democracy: Military Dominance in Post-ColonialBurma. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 312-326.
- Gladstone, R. (2017, November 16). Rohingya Were Raped Systematically by Myanmar's Military, Report Says. *New York Times*.
- Gearty, J. F. (1991). *Deburdening Myanmar from 1990: The Unconsummated Election*. *Asia Survey*, pp. 205-211.
- Hashmi, R. S. (2014). Ethnic Politics: An Issue to National Integration. *South Asian Studies*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 345-361.
- Hold on to Peace*. Bangkok, (1990). All Burma Students Democratic Front.
- Holliday, I. (2010, August 20). Ethnicity and Democratization in Myanmar. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 111-128.
- Hussain, S. (1978). *Rohingya Refugee in World Press*. *Integration of Second Generation Russians in*. (2008). Tallinn: Institute of International and Social Studies, Tallinn University.
- Kiener, R. (2012). Myanmar's New Era, Will the military allow reforms to continue? *C. Q. Researcher*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 329-352.

- Kipgen, N. (2013). Conflict in Rakhine State in Myanmar: Rohingya Muslims' Conundrum. *Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs (IMMA)*, 298-310.
- Kipgen, N. (2014). Ethnicity in Myanmar and its Importance to the Success of Democracy. *Ethnopolitics*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 19-31.
- Kramer, T. (2012). *Ending 50 years of military rule? Prospects for peace*. Norway: NOREF.
- Lee, R. (2014). A Politician, Not an Icon: Aung San Suu Kyi's Silence on Myanmar's Muslim Rohingya. *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 321-333.
- Lee, R. (2017, November 10). A Systematic Ethnic Cleansing; The Case Study of Rohingya. (Y. Storai, Interviewer)
- Linda, C. B. (2014). *Myanmar's Rohingya Refugees*. Washington DC: Georgetown University.
- Loury, G. C. (1999). Social Exclusion and Ethnic Groups: The Challenge to Economics. *The Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics*, 1-41.
- Mahmood, M. (2017). The Rohingya Crisis: History and Politics. *Institute of Strategic Studies*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 1-5.
- Martin, M. F. (2017). *Burma's Brutal Campaign Against the Rohingya*. New York: Congressional Research Service.
- Mckirdy, E. (2017, September 22). Bangladeshi prime minister condemns Myanmar over Rohingya treatment. *CNN News*. Retrieved from <http://edition.cnn.com/2017/09/21/asia/bangladesh-pmsheikh-hasina-un-rohingya/index.html>
- Migration & Remittances Factbook*. (2016). Washington: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.
- Mission Report of OHCHR Rapid Response Mission to Cox's Bazar Bangladesh*. (2017). UNHCR.
- Myanmar military exonerates itself in report on atrocities against Rohingya. (2017, September 14). *The Guardian*. Retrieved from https://www.theguardian.com/world/2017/nov/14/myanmarmilitary-exonerates-itself-in-report-on-atrocities-against-rohingya?CMP=share_btn_tw
- Myanmar revokes Rohingya voting rights after protests. (2015, February 11). *BBC News*. Retrieved from <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-31421179>
- Myanmar soldiers systematically gang-raped Rohingya women: UN envoy. (2017, November 13). *Asia Pacific*. Retrieved from <http://www.channelnewsasia.com/news/asiapacific/myanmarsoldiers-systematically-gang-raped-rohingya-women-un-9399600>
- Myanmar: What sparked latest violence in Rakhine? (2017, September 19). *CNN News*. Retrieved from <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-41082689>
- Nelson, M. J. (2016, May 2). Local Orders & International Engagement. *Local Orders*, pp. 1-17.
- Nemoto, K. (1991). The Rohingya Issue: A Thorny Obstacle between Burma (Myanmar) and Bangladesh. *Journal of Burma Studies*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 1-5.
- O'Hara, E. B. (2015, June 29). The Rohingya and Islamic Extremism: A Convenient Myth. *The Diplomat*. Retrieved from <https://thediplomat.com/2015/06/the-rohingya-and-islamic-extremism-aconvenient-myth/>
- Parnini, S. N. (2013, October 18). The Crisis of the Rohingya as a Muslim Minority in Myanmar and Bilateral Relations with Bangladesh. *Journal of Muslims Minority Affairs*, (Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 281-297.
- Payne, E. (2015, November 13). Aung San Suu Kyi's NLD wins historic majority in Myanmar election. *CNN News*. Retrieved from <http://edition.cnn.com/2015/11/11/asia/myanmar-elections/index.html>
- Rahman, K. M. (2015, July). Ethno-Political Conflict: *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Studies*, Vol. no. and issue no. are missing), 288-295.
- Ratcliffe, R. (2017, September 6). Who are the Rohingya and what is happening in Myanmar? *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2017/sep/06/who-are-the-rohingya-and-what-is-happening-in-myanmar>
- Rieffer, L. (2017, September 13). No Simple Solution to Rohingya Crisis in Myanmar. *Brookings* Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2017/09/13/no-simple-solution-to->

- therohingya-crisis-in-myanmar/.
- Rohingya Briefing Report. (2015). War Zone Initiatives. *Rohingya 'extremists' trying to build stronghold - Myanmar army.* (2017, September 17). Retrieved from CNN: <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-41300247>.
- Rohingya refugees have been fleeing violence in Myanmar at a staggering rate – and the numbers keep growing. (2017, November 22). *UNHCR.* Retrieved from <http://www.unhcr.org/rohingyaemergency.html>.
- Schuler, K. O. (2015). Myanmar's Landmark Election: Unresolved Questions. *ISEAS YuofIshakInstitute*, 116.
- Selth, A. (2009). Burma's Muslims & the War on Terror. *Studies in Conflict &terrorism*, <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/10576100490275094>.
- Simbulan, K. P. (n.d.). A Legal and Structural Analysis of the Violence in Rakhine State Against the RohingyaMuslim in Myanmar. *Academia.* Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/6101564/Le-gal_and_Structural_Analysis_of_Violence_in_Rakhi-ne_State_against_the_Rohingya_Muslims_of_Myanmar
- Special Issue on Growing Poverty. (2016, August 2). *Independent Journal of Burmese Scholarship.* Vol. no., issue no., and pages numbers are missing)
- Tarabay, J. (2017, September 24). Myanmar's military: The power Aung San Suu Kyi can't control. *CNN News.* Retrieved from <http://edition.cnn.com/2017/09/21/asia/myanmar-military-the-realpower/index.html>
- Tha, M. T. (2007, September 13). A Short History of Rohingya and Kamans of Burma. *Kaladan News* Retrieved from <http://www.kaladanpress.org/index.php/scholar-column-mainmenu-36/arakan/872-a-short-history-of-rohingya-and-kamas-of-burma.html>
- Ullah, A. A. (2011, September). Rohingya Refugees to Bangladesh: Historical Exclusions and Contemporary Marginalization. *Journal of Immigrant & Refugee Studies*, 139-161.
- Younas, D. M. (1994). *A History of Arakan (Past & Present)*. Chittagong: University of Chittagong.