

Check for updates



# Available Online at EScience Press Journal of Plant and Environment

ISSN: 2710-1665 (Online), 2710-1657 (Print) https://esciencepress.net/journals/JPE

## Standardization of Growing Media for Grapes Nursery Production

Muahmmad Saqib<sup>1</sup>, Kashif Razzaq<sup>1\*</sup>, Sami Ullah<sup>1</sup>, Abid Hussain<sup>2</sup>, Ishtiaq A. Rajwana<sup>1</sup>, Ambreen Naz<sup>3</sup>, Gulzar Akhtar<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Amin<sup>4</sup>, Hafiz N. Faried<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad S. Zafar<sup>5</sup>, Muhammad Shafique<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, MNS-University of Agriculture, Multan 60000, Pakistan.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, MNS-University of Agriculture, Multan 60000, Pakistan.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, MNS-University of Agriculture, Multan 60000, Pakistan.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Horticultural Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur 63100, Pakistan.

<sup>5</sup>Mango Research Station, Shujabad, Multan 60000, Pakistan.

<sup>6</sup>Subcampus Burewala, Vehari, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, 61010, Pakistan.

## ARTICLE INFO

## ABSTRACT

#### **Article History**

Received: July 22, 2021 Revised: September 26 2021 Accepted: November 14, 2021

Keywords

Nursery Soil-based Media CRD Sawdust Silt Bagasse

Nursery production of grapes in soil-based media causes higher mortality due to soilborne diseases including root rot, damping off, phytophthora and die back etc. The study was planned to explore the potential of various growing media for the nursery production of grapes cy. Sultana-C through cuttings. Six different growing media including peat moss, silt, sugarcane bagasse, saw dust, coconut fiber and farm yard manure alone and in different combinations (16) were tested. Treatments were arranged under Completely Randomized Design (CRD) and replicated five times. Results indicated that grapes cutting planted in (GM13) media combination of sugarcane bagasse, silt and saw dust (1:1:1) exhibited highest sprouting percentage (100%) and significant results for shoots and roots length (41.4 and 40.4 cm respectively), inter-nodal distance (3.4 cm), leaf area (78.93 cm), no. of leaves (12.3), shoots diameter (10.43 cm) and fresh weight of shoots and roots (17.35 and 19.84 g) as compared to other growing media. Maximum mortality percentage was observed in media (GM6) containing Silt + FYM (1:1) and (GM18) sawdust + FYM + coconut fiber (1:1:1). Conclusively, the combination of sugarcane bagasse, silt and saw dust (1:1:1) has been proved highly significant for survival and growth of grapes nursery.

Corresponding Author: Kashif Razzaq Email: kashif.razzaq@mnsuam.edu.pk © The Author(s) 2021.

## INTRODUCTION

Grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) are one of the main fruits cultivated in Pakistan belonging to the family Vitaceae. Despite having lot of potential for fresh grapes production, Pakistan imports approximately 43 thousand tons of fresh grapes. Areas of 15.24 thousand hectares produce 77.23 thousand tons of grapes. About 90% of this production comes from Balochistan province followed by Punjab, Khyber Pakhtunkha and Sindh (MNFSR, 2016). Thomson Seedless, Sultana-C, Cardinal, Kings Ruby, White Seedless, Early White and Perlit are the important and most liked grapes. The commercial grapes nursery is usually prepared through hard and soft wood cuttings taken from one-year-old branches of the mother plant. These cuttings were placed in a growing medium, which ultimately produce shoots and roots therefore, making a new plant identical to the mother plant (Khair *et al.*, 2009). In Pakistan, generally, grapes cuttings are planted in soil that results in high mortality, possibly due to soil borne diseases that causes greater economic loss in various crops including grapes (Kambooh *et al.*, 1984). An alternative approach for healthy and diseased free nursery production is the use of various growing medias (Riaz et al., 2008). A growing media can be distinct as a material in which roots of plant grow and take nutrients as well as water (Ingram, 1993). Moreover, ideal growing media may have proper water holding capacity with good porosity, aeration and drainage (Ahmad, 2004). The availability of macro and micronutrients in growing media are useful for the plant growth and development. Media comprising of forest soil and sawdust improved U. kirkiana seedling growth when amended with NPK fertilizer (Mhango, 2008). Many suitable growing media are available in the market like peat moss, perlite, vermiculite, vericompost etc but their high cost create difficulties for growers especially from developing countries to afford (Khan et al., 2017). Peat moss when extracted has negative influence on environment (Alexander et al., 2008). Two major container media, perlite and peat moss are comparatively high in cost and growers from developing countries could not buy. As for as vermicompost is concerned, its huge cost forced growers to espouse some other mean of low-cost media with high efficiency. (Vadiraj et al., 1992). The paramount option to manage this problem is to utilize economical and locally available resources to get low-cost media with required characters. Sugarcane bagasse is one of the low costs but rich in nutrient media available in bulk quantity from sugarcane industry. Farm yard manure, coconut fiber, saw dust, leaf manure, wheat straw, rice husk etc. are the other options that are available in local markets at low price. (Sahin et al., 2002). Physical properties (water holding capacity and aeration) and chemical properties (pH and EC of the media are very much important attributes because they have essential role in plant development (Dewayne et al., 2003).

To the author's knowledge, novelty of work is that no study has been made to determine most appropriate growing media alone or in combination for the production of healthy grapes nursery from indigenous resources. It is hypothesized that using of various media ingredients alone or in various combinations will be helpful for the production of healthy and vigorous nursery grapes plants. Therefore, this research work has planned to search out good media combination(s) for the production of healthy grape plants

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Healthy cutting of Sulthana-C having uniform age and growth were sourced from a commercial nursery located

in Royal grapes farm (30º04'45.5N 71º13'02.1E) Muzafar Gharh, Punjab-Pakistan during the year of 2018-19. These cutting were transplanted in various growing media including peat moss, silt, farm yard manure (FYM), sugarcane bagasse, sawdust and coconut fiber to evaluate their growth. These six-nursery media were used in 16 combinations (v/v) while peat moss and silt were taken as control. Treatments combinations used were viz., GM1= peat moss, GM2= silt, GM3= silt + sugarcane bagasse (1:1), GM4= silt + sawdust (1:1), GM5= coconut fiber + silt (1:1), GM6= silt + FYM (1:1), GM7= sugarcane bagasse + sawdust (1:1), GM8= sugarcane bagasse + coconut fiber (1:1), GM9= sugarcane bagasse + FYM (1:1), GM10= sawdust + coconut fiber (1:1), GM11= sawdust + FYM (1:1), GM12= coconut fiber + FYM (1:1), GM13= silt + sugarcane bagasse + saw dust (1:1:1), GM14= silt + sawdust + coconut fiber (1:1:1), GM15= coconut fiber + silt+ FYM (1:1:1), GM16= sugarcane bagasse + sawdust + coconut fiber (1:1:1), GM17= sugarcane bagasse + FYM + coconut fiber (1:1:1) and GM18= sawdust + FYM + coconut fiber (1:1:1). The experiment was carried out according to CRD and twenty-five cutting were used as a treatment and replicated five times.

#### Physico- Chemical analysis for growing media

Analysis for physical and chemical properties like Water holding capacity, pH, EC, organic matter contents and N, P,K contents are presented in Table 2 and 3. The electrical conductivity (EC) was measured in dS m<sup>-1</sup> with a conductivity meter and a pH meter (digital ion analyzer) was used to measure the pH of the growing media (Thomas, 1996),

The water holding capacity (g water/g dry material) was calculated as described by Ahn *et al.*, (2008) and Organic matter contents were determined by using the method outlined by (Moodie *et al.*, 1959). The total nitrogen in the media sample was determined by distillation in Kjeldahl's apparatus and titration was carried out with standard H2SO4. Boric acid and methyl red were used as indicators (Jackson, 1962). Olsen's method was used to determine the available phosphorus in the media (Olsen *et al.*, 1984) and for the estimation of potassium, United States Salinity Laboratory Staff's (1954) method of flame photometer was used.

#### Sprouting percentage (%)

Sprouting percentage of each treatment was checked on every alternative day up to 10th day of plantation and the sprouting percentage was computed per equation (1) as described by (Wilson *et al.*, 2001).

Sprouting (%) =  $\frac{N}{n} \times 100$ 

N= No. of cutting dead n= total no. of cuttings planted

## Mortality percentage (%)

Mortality percentage from each media was recorded during the entire study process and computed after all observation and expressed as percentage by formula.

Mortality (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Number of dead cutting}}{\text{Total number of cutting planted}} \times 100$$

## Compactness of media (cm)

Initially the plastic bags ( $6 \times 10$  inches) were filled with respective nursery media alone or in combination leaving one-inch space at top. At the end of experiment, level of each respective nursery media was measured by using scale and expressed in cm.

#### Leaf area (cm)

Three multiple sized leaves, randomly selected from each cutting was calculated by digital leaf area meter and expressed as cm.

#### Root and Shoot length (cm)

Length of basel shoots of each cutting was measured with scale at the end of experiment and root length of each cutting was measured with scale at the end of experiment. Shoot diameter (cm)

The diameter of the basal shoot per cutting was selected and measured three parts of the shoot (upper, middle and lower) with vernier caliper and expressed in cm.

#### Shoot fresh and dry weight (gm)

Freshly uprooted cuttings shoot was weighed on analytical balance and shoot of the planting material obtained from each growing media after the completion of experiment were placed in oven (65°C) till constant weight.

#### Root fresh and dry weight (gm)

Root of freshly uprooted cuttings was weighed on analytical balance and root of the planting material obtained from each growing media after the completion of experiment were placed in oven (65°C) till constant weight.

## Chlorophyll a, b content (mg)

Chlorophyll pigments were measured according to the method of Makeen et al., 2007).

## Inter-nodal distance (cm)

After sprouting of cutting, to quantify the vigor of plants, intermodal distance was measure by scale and expressed in cm.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

After three months of planting, data were recorded before and after uprooting of cuttings for shoot and root parameters. The collected data was statistically analyzed and analysis of variance was employed to testify the significance of results, while treatments means were compared by using Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 5% level of significance (Steel *et al.*, 1997).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Physical and chemical properties of growing media (pH, EC, WHC, OM and N, P and K)

The physical and chemical properties of media components were significantly different (Table 1). As for as physical parameters are concerned, highest value of pH (9.35) and EC (2.41 dS/m) was recorded in FYM and lowest pH and EC was found in sawdust (5.84) and silt (0.68 dS/m) respectively, Sugarcane bagasse exhibited highest WHC (42.78 %) than other growing media and lowest was found in silt (12.23 %). During analysis of different nutrients in media components, it was observed that sugar cane bagasse have highest value of N (2.01 ppm). Whereas, maximum P (0.72 ppm) was found in saw dust while high level of K (8.34 ppm) was observed in peat moss. Minimum values of N, P & K were detected in silt. As far as organic matter was concerned, highest percentage of organic matter (0.44 %) was shown by coconut fiber.

After analyzing the individual media component, treatments combinations were prepared and analysis of these combinations was also performed before planting (Table 2). The value of pH (8.79) and EC (2.97 dS/m) was found maximum in GM6 (silt + FYM). Whereas, GM7 (sugarcane bagasse + sawdust) exhibited Maximum WHC (44.37 %). The value for N (2.01 %), P (0.275 %) and K (8.34 %) were observed highest in (sugarcane baggase + coconut fiber), (sawdust + coconut fiber) and peat moss respectively. Moreover, GM 8 (sugarcane bagasse + coconut fiber) and GM13 (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust) exhibited maximum percentage of organic matter (0.27 %) than other growing media. Growing media combinations were also analyzed after transplanting of cutting (Table 3). Lowest pH and EC recorded in peat moss (5.34) and silt (0.18 dS/m) alone respectively. However, maximum WHC (39.34 %) and organic matter (0.23 %) were obtained in GM7 (sugarcane bagasse + sawdust) and GM8 (sugarcane bagasse + coconut fiber) respectively. Moreover, maximum N (1.57 ppm), P (0.27 ppm) and K (5.24 ppm) were found in (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust),

(sawdust + coconut fiber) and (peat moss) respectively.

Growing media	pН	Electric	Water	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Organic
		Conductivity	Holding	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	Matter
		(dS/m)	Content (%)				(%)
Peat moss	5.88±0.11	1.85±0.21	37.87±4.58	$1.73 \pm 0.10$	0.521±0.17	8.34±1.00	$0.17 \pm 0.06$
Silt	6.72±0.14	0.68±0.21	12.23±1.73	$0.34 \pm 0.11$	0.036±0.01	2.78±0.91	$0.12 \pm 0.04$
Sugarcane Bagasse	7.47±0.41	$0.77 \pm 0.10$	42.78±2.66	2.01±0.18	0.434±0.10	6.35±0.16	$0.35 \pm 0.11$
Coconut fiber	6.36±0.21	1.88±0.16	35.87±3.99	$1.23 \pm 0.17$	0.32±0.10	7.83±0.84	$0.44 \pm 0.05$
Sawdust	5.84±0.21	1.99±0.22	19.87±2.06	0.78±0.27	0.72±0.02	5.89±0.49	0.21±0.04
Farm Yard Manure	9.35±0.26	2.41±0.30	23.67±4.59	0.56±0.13	0.041 ±0.03	3.95±0.35	0.08±0.03
	( )	1 (	0) · C1	( 2)	1 . ( 2)	с I	( )

Table 1	Dhereigal	and Cham	i aal mmam	antion of the		madia
Table L	Privsical	and them	icai prop	ernes or tr	ie prowing	media.
10010 1.	1 11 9 0 1 0 0 1		roar prop	01 01 01 01 01		

Peat moss (n= 3), silt (n= 3), sugarcane bagasse (n= 3), coconut fiber (n= 3), sawdust (n= 3), farm yard manure (n= 3).

#### Sprouting and mortality percentage

Significant variation of sprouting percentage in different growing media alone or in combinations was observed (Figure 1 and 2). Highest sprouting percentage (100%) obtained in GM1 (peat moss), GM7 (sugarcane bagasse + sawdust), GM8 (Sugarcane bagasse + coconut fiber), GM10 (sawdust + coconut fiber), GM11 (sawdust + FYM), GM12 (coconut fiber + FYM), GM13 (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust), GM14 (silt + saw dust + coconut fiber) and GM17 (sugarcane bagasse + coconut fiber + FYM). On the other hand, GM6 (silt + FYM) and GM18 (sawdust + coconut fiber + FYM) contained the highest pH (8.79, 8.64) and EC (2.97 dS/m, 2.34 dS/m) respectively, that caused 100% mortality. Potted seedlings having good media combination, highest survival rate and sprouting growth was observed by Duryea and Brown (1984). In the present study, same has been observed that reason for highest survival percentage was directly connected to good growing media composition, mainly for good development of a root system as reported by Gulcu et al. (2010). Mortality was due to high level of pH and EC as shown in (Table 2). The results are in comparison with Bernstein (1975), who stated that electrical conductivity (EC) of the media combination showed significant results for plant growth and development, while media with high level of EC showed poor growth of plants. Similar results were observed in sprouting percentage (100%) of tomato seedling planted in peat moss (Jailani et al., 2016). The finding of present study was supported with the results of Haq et al. (2017) who observed the highest sprouting percentage (94%) in mango seedling was found when planted in media combination containing sugarcane bagasse + coconut fiber + silt (70% + 25% + 5%).

Table 2. Physico- Chemical properties of different growing media alone or in combinations.

Treatments	pН	Electric	Water	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Organic
		Conductivity	Holding	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	Matter (%)
		(dS/m)	Content (%)				
GM1	$5.88 \pm 0.11$	$1.85 \pm 0.05$	37.87±7.1	$1.73 \pm 0.04$	0.221±0.03	8.34±0.11	$0.17 \pm 0.06$
GM2	8.70±0.10	$0.18 \pm 0.07$	$12.23\pm5.1$	$0.34 \pm 0.04$	$0.136 \pm 0.02$	2.78±0.09	$0.12 \pm 0.15$
GM3	7.48±0.11	$0.45 \pm 0.06$	36.93±6.0	$1.92 \pm 0.26$	$0.175 \pm 0.03$	$3.45 \pm 0.05$	$0.14 \pm 0.08$
GM4	7.23±0.10	1.85±0.39	24.97±3.0	1.23±0.09	$0.171 \pm 0.04$	4.86±0.011	0.24±0.11
GM5	7.64±0.58	2.01±0.20	$28.97 \pm 4.0$	$1.09 \pm 0.14$	$0.164 \pm 0.01$	$5.87 \pm 0.08$	$0.14 \pm 0.10$
GM6	8.79±0.67	$2.97 \pm 1.00$	22.45±4.6	$1.02 \pm 0.01$	0.191±0.02	$1.67 \pm 0.10$	$0.15 \pm 0.03$
GM7	7.35±0.15	$1.37 \pm 0.11$	44.34±4.0	$1.95 \pm 0.11$	$0.17 \pm 0.05$	6.86±0.18	0.19±0.12
GM8	6.32±0.10	$1.54 \pm 0.46$	39.54±4.1	2.01±0.11	0.261±0.01	5.96±0.50	0.27±0.08
GM9	7.69±0.11	2.02±0.87	33.54±3.6	$1.65 \pm 0.26$	$0.141 \pm 0.00$	4.86±0.10	0.23±0.06
GM10	7.67±0.11	2.09±0.26	41.87±1.0	$1.45 \pm 0.21$	$0.275 \pm 0.03$	5.86±0.72	0.12±0.13
GM11	7.96±0.92	2.01±0.60	36.84±3.0	$1.21 \pm 0.08$	$0.245 \pm 0.01$	4.23±0.27	$0.22 \pm 0.10$
GM12	7.87±0.16	$1.92 \pm 0.05$	32.64±0.3	$1.32 \pm 0.07$	$0.165 \pm 0.06$	4.23±0.25	$0.1 \pm 0.07$

GM13	6.78±0.16	$1.93 \pm 0.11$	33.64±3.5	1.87±0.21	0.252±0.01	6.85±0.27	0.27±0.13
GM14	7.82±0.11	$1.73 \pm 0.52$	38.63±1.7	$1.67 \pm 0.27$	$0.242 \pm 0.00$	5.86±0.75	0.21±0.11
GM15	8.56±0.26	$1.11 \pm 0.03$	17.85±3.0	$1.47 \pm 0.11$	0.251±0.06	4.86±0.16	0.11±0.09
GM16	7.23±0.17	$1.22 \pm 0.22$	40.01±1.7	1.94±0.09	$0.242 \pm 0.00$	3.86±0.93	0.18±0.13
GM17	7.23±0.27	1.13±0.11	39.26±2.0	$1.65 \pm 0.15$	$0.124 \pm 0.01$	5.87±0.99	0.11±0.11
GM18	8.64±0.20	2.34±0.51	32.89±1.0	$1.09 \pm 0.03$	$0.013 \pm 0.01$	2.86±1.01	0.11±0.06

#### Compactness of the growing media

The results regarding the compactness of different growing media alone or in combinations was significantly affected. Maximum compactness (3.70 cm) was observed in GM18 (sawdust + coconut fiber + FYM) and lowest in GM2 (silt) and GM3 (silt + sugarcane bagasse) (Fig. 3). Same results were reported by Trochoulias *et al.* (1990) that compactness of media could be a reason of huge mortality and poor growth of ornamental seedlings.

bagasse + sawdust) exhibited highest (72.603 cm<sup>2</sup>) leaf

**Leaf area** Leaf area was significantly affected by different growing media alone or in combinations. GM13 (silt + sugarcane area followed by GM12 (silt + sawdust + coconut fiber) with leaf area of ( $60.86 \text{ cm}^2$ ). However, lowest leaf area ( $4.13 \text{ cm}^2$ ) obtained in GM2 (silt) (Table 4). The Significant results were due to high content of nitrogen (N) presented in the above mixtures that showed maximum leaf area.

The findings are same with the results for carnation plant that showed maximum (15.65 cm<sup>2</sup>) leaf area in silt + sawdust (1:1) (Cardens *et al.*, 2006). However, contrary to our results, when Amaranths plants were grown in silt + sawdust (1:1) produced lowest (8.67 cm<sup>2</sup>) leaf area (Akparobi, 2009).

m 11 0	DI .	1 . 1		C 1.CC .		1. 1		1 • • •	<i>c</i> .	
Table 3	Physico-	chemical	nronerfies	of different	growing	media al	one or in	combination	i atter e	vneriment.
rubic 5.	1 1195100	cifeinicui	properties	or uniterent	5.0.01115	meana ai	one or m	combination	uncer e	Aper miene.

Treatments	pН	Electric	Water	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Organic
		Conductivity	Holding	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	Matter (%)
		(dS/m)	Content (%)				
GM1	5.34±0.271	1.85±0.18	25.87±1.72	1.23±0.04	0.221±0.10	5.24±1.01	0.11±0.04
GM2	6.71±0.20	0.18±0.03	11.23±1.95	0.24±0.11	0.191±0.09	$0.58 \pm 1.12$	0.12±0.06
GM3	7.11±1.01	$0.55 \pm 0.16$	22.93±1.73	$1.52 \pm 0.10$	$0.122 \pm 0.02$	$1.45 \pm 0.02$	$0.12 \pm 0.02$
GM4	6.34±1.74	$1.75 \pm 0.30$	15.97±0.30	$1.13 \pm 0.10$	$0.171 \pm 0.10$	2.56±0.17	$0.14 \pm 0.04$
GM5	7.02±1.02	2.05±0.99	20.97±2.01	$1.05 \pm 0.05$	$0.114 \pm 0.00$	2.87±0.13	0.1±0.03
GM6	8.75±0.32	$2.95 \pm 0.18$	$18.45 \pm 2.00$	$1.02 \pm 0.02$	0.121±0.01	$1.47 \pm 0.21$	0.05±0.03
GM7	7.34±1.00	$1.27 \pm 0.65$	39.34±0.11	$1.55 \pm 0.43$	0.211±0.13	4.26±1.23	$0.15 \pm 0.04$
GM8	6.63±0.29	$1.44 \pm 0.15$	31.54±0.36	$1.5 \pm 0.11$	$0.161 \pm 0.02$	2.46±0.31	0.23±0.05
GM9	7.55±0.05	2.11±0.30	25.54±0.36	$1.15 \pm 0.03$	$0.241 \pm 0.10$	2.13±0.17	$0.15 \pm 0.04$
GM10	7.63±0.36	2.05±0.86	35.87±0.16	$1.25 \pm 0.04$	$0.275 \pm 0.10$	2.23±0.09	0.11±0.02
GM11	8.86±0.21	2.01±0.87	28.84±0.99	$1.11 \pm 0.07$	$0.145 \pm 0.03$	2.23±0.11	$0.09 \pm 0.04$
GM12	7.85±1.74	$1.82 \pm 0.17$	20.64±2.00	$1.05 \pm 0.08$	$0.135 \pm 0.06$	$1.2 \pm 0.04$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$
GM13	6.68±0.27	$1.95 \pm 0.45$	25.64±1.74	$1.57 \pm 0.12$	$0.252 \pm 0.01$	$3.23 \pm 1.00$	$0.17 \pm 0.04$
GM14	7.52±1.73	$1.73 \pm 0.10$	30.63±0.20	$1.37 \pm 0.10$	$0.142 \pm 0.01$	3.86±0.16	$0.11 \pm 0.07$
GM15	8.02±0.95	$1.01 \pm 0.30$	12.85±0.16	$1.13 \pm 0.03$	$0.111 \pm 0.00$	2.86±1.01	$0.05 \pm 0.03$
GM16	7.55±1.72	$1.15 \pm 0.15$	34.01±1.58	$1.54 \pm 0.11$	0.132±0.03	$1.86 \pm 0.21$	$0.18 \pm 0.05$
GM17	7.05±0.31	$1.05 \pm 0.11$	25.26±0.21	$1.25 \pm 0.08$	$0.1 \pm 0.01$	$2.17 \pm 1.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$
GM18	8.55±1.00	$2.25 \pm 0.10$	25.89±0.10	$1.09 \pm 0.08$	$0.063 \pm 0.05$	$2.86 \pm 0.18$	$0.12 \pm 0.05$

		0	0				- F -			0 1	
Treatments	Leaf area (cm2)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot diameter	Shoot fresh Weight	Shoot dry weight	Root fresh weight	Root dry weight	Chlorophyll -a content (mg/g)	Chlorophyll -b content (mg/g)	Internodal distance (cm)
GM1	52.50	40.2	35.4	7.79	15.83	7.49	15.13	8.87	25.29	46.77	3.37
GM2	6.33	14.66	3.33	3.58	3.32	1.94	1.95	0.81	8.31	15.78	1.15
GM3	39.89	27.66	31	4.28	9.72	5.24	4.6	1.4	11.05	18.55	1.62
GM4	61.95	19.62	31	6.04	7.12	3.6	5.82	2.90	5.79	12.44	2.43
GM5	16.32	21.87	21	5.94	11.11	5.47	6.09	3.13	18.66	18.87	2.18
GM6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GM7	41.27	22	26	7.36	6.88	3.45	6.60	3.96	17.03	20.98	2.82
GM8	23.06	21	27.1	6.18	5.50	2.96	6.34	3.46	7.76	27.87	2.84
GM9	32.09	23.25	29.12	5.97	8.39	4.1	7.45	3.43	17.47	29.87	2.50
GM10	58.82	35.3	36.4	8.55	14.84	7.55	9.5	5.71	22.13	25.56	2.92
GM11	29.50	24	21.3	7.75	9.57	5.02	5.93	3.01	12.67	30.87	2.43
GM12	61.36	35.8	41.7	7.76	15.58	8.13	10.12	5.57	8.65	28.87	3.79
GM13	78.93	41.4	40.4	10.43	17.35	8.75	19.84	10.45	17.54	26.76	4.12
GM14	45.23	19.62	23.12	6.17	7.79	4.21	7.69	3.71	19.51	5.91	2.07
GM15	16.91	17.33	8.5	3.75	6.57	4.06	6.33	2.22	10.95	27.98	1.45
GM16	20.28	29.33	19.5	4.11	6.94	3.60	8.08	2.58	15.22	20.87	1.61
GM17	49.88	25.8	29.4	6.55	7.39	4.09	7.02	4.27	9.59	30.44	2.76
GM18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4. Effect of different growing media alone or in combinations on plant characteristics of grapes.

## Shoot and root length

Shoot length was significantly affected by the use of different growing media alone or in combinations. Highest shoot and root length (41. 40 cm and 41. 70 cm) obtained in GM13 (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust) and GM12 (coconut fiber + FYM) respectfully, and minimum shoot and root length (2.20 cm), (8.8000 cm) obtained in GM2 (silt) as mentioned in (Table 4). Results revealed that a mixture of silt with sugarcane bagasse and sawdust to be proved best for shoot length. The maximum shoot and root length might be due to high content of N, P and K. and organic matter of the growing media. Moreover, influence the absorption of nutrients by the plants which eventually produced long shoot (Riaz et al., 2008). Our results are similar with the findings of Shah et al. (2006) that highest shoot length (20 cm) in Ficus binnendijkii was found in silt + sugarcane bagasse. Similarly, (Shahid et al., 2017) reported that maximum shoot length (22.85cm) observed in silt + sawdust. However, Hussain et al. (2017) reported that minimum shoot length (15.28cm) was showed in silt. Similar result was finding that the combination of FYM and coconut fiber has been initiated long roots and increasing rooting percentage in rough lemon (Qureshi et al., 2014).

## **Shoot Diameter**

Maximum shoot diameter (10.433 cm) obtained in GM13 (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust) followed by GM10 (sawdust + coconut fiber) with shoot diameter of (8.55 cm) as shown in (Table 4) and minimum shoot diameter (3.58 cm) was found in GM2 (silt). Maximum shoot diameter might be due to highest content of nitrogen in growing media resulted to cause highest shoot diameter of grapes cutting because of more buildup of photosynthetic in shoots. Similar results showed with the finding of (Aswath and Padmanabha, 2004) that maximum shoot diameter (3.45 cm) of marigold obtained in silt + sawdust + sugarcane bagasse (1:1:1). Similarlly, Haq *et al.* (2017) reported that combination of bagasse + coconut fiber + silt (70%, 25% and 5%) achieved the highest shoot diameter (1.2 cm) in mango.

#### Shoot fresh and dry weight

Significant difference obtained in shoots fresh and dry weight in growing media alone or in combination. Highest shoot fresh and dry weight (17.35 g and 8.75 g) obtained from which the cuttings grown in GM13 (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust). And lowest shoot fresh and dry weight (1.99 and 1.166) obtained in GM2 (silt) respectfully (Table 4). Results showed that silt along with sugarcane bagasse

and sawdust established best cutting growth with respect to shoot fresh weight of grapes cutting. The presence of macro nutrients in young shoot that the major reason to increase shoots fresh weight. Contrary to our result Dracaena plant grown in silt, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse produced highest shoot fresh weight (71.3 g) (Alidoust *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, Nazari *et al.* (2011) also reported that hyacinth planted in sawdust and silt showed maximum shoot fresh weight. El-Naggar and El-Nasharty, (2009) also reported that (28.20 gm) shoot dry weight of amaryllis plant obtained in nursery media combination consisted of silt + sawdust (1:1).



Figure 1. Effect of various growing media on sprouting percentage of grapes cutting alone or in combinations. Vertical bars indicate standard error of means. Letter sharing different letter are significantly different from each other.



Figure 2. Effect of various growing media on mortality percentage of grapes cutting alone or in combinations. Vertical bars indicate standard error of means. Letter sharing different letter are significantly different from each other.



Figure 3. Effect of different growing media on compactness of media alone or in combinations. Vertical bars indicate standard error of means. Letter sharing different letter are significantly different from each other.

#### Root fresh and dry weight

The result regarding of root fresh weight in different growing media alone or in combinations was significantly affected. Results depicted in Table 4 showed that highest fresh and dry weight of root (19.84 g) and (10.45g) found in GM13 (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust) followed by GM1 (peat moss) with (15.13g) and (8.87 g) root fresh weight and the lowest fresh and dry weight found in GM2 [(silt) (1.17 g and 0.8120g)] respectively. The major reason of maximum root fresh weight in GM13 is because of proper aeration in media to produce a greater number of roots. Similarly, Gulcu *et al.* (2010) also reported that fresh root weight increases due to presence of organic matter in growing media that gave N and P in root system of plant ultimately results in the increase of nutrients absorption and their utilization.

Alidoust *et al.* (2012) documented that highest root fresh weight (81.3g) of Dracaena plant showed in sugarcane bagasse + silt. Additionally, in hyacinth plant, maximum root fresh weight found in media containing peat moss (Nazari *et al.*, 2011). Similar results were obtained by Worrall, (2011) in which Impatiens walleriana planted in silt + sawdust + that obtained highest roots dry weight (7.87 gm). Contrary to our results Ficus benjamina cuttings grown in bagasse + sawdust (1:1) that obtained the lowest dry root weight (2.918g) (Abouzari *et al.*, 2012).

#### Chlorophyll a, b content of leaves

Leaves chlorophyll-content was significantly influenced by the growing media alone or in combinations. High chlorophyll-a content (25.29 %) in grape leaves obtained in GM1 (peat moss) followed by GM10 (sawdust + coconut fiber) with (22.13 %) of chlorophyll content as mentioned in (Table. 4). However, minimum chlorophylla content (5.79 %) was recorded in GM4 (silt + sawdust). Similarly, High chlorophyll-b content (46.77 %) was found in GM1 (peat moss) followed by GM11 (sawdust + FYM) with (30.87%) and minimum chlorophyll-b content (5.91%) was recorded in GM14 (silt + sawdust + coconut fiber). Highest chlorophyll contents in leaves might be due to reflection of media properties containing high level of N, K, EC and phosphorous contents (Ahmad et al., 2004). Our results are more similar with the findings of (Khan et al., 2002) that peat moss produced maximum chlorophyll contents (41.32%) in gladiolus leaves. These findings are in similar with previous studies which showed that media comprising of peat-moss with sand increased chlorophyll contents (Abd el Gayed and Attia, 2018)

## Internodal distance

Highest distance of internodes (4.1281cm) was shown in GM13 (silt + sugarcane bagasse + sawdust) fallowed by the cutting of grapes planted in GM12 (coconut fiber + FYM). And lowest (1.1500cm) was found in GM2 (silt) as mentioned in (Table 1). The possible reason of long distance of internodes might be due to highest content of nitrogen (N) in young shoots.

## CONCLUSION

Cuttings planted in growing media, silt + sugarcane bagasse + saw dust (1:1:1) exhibited highly significant results for growth and development of grapes seedling production. While FYM alone or in combinations resulted either mortality or restricted growth and development of grape nursery.

## REFERENCES

- Abdel Gayed, M.E. and E.A. Attia. 2018. Impact of growing media and compound fertilizer rates on growth and flowering of cocks comb (Celosia argentea) plants. Journal of Plant Production. 9 (11): 895-900.
- Abouzari, A., S. Rouhi, A. Eslami and B. Kaviani. 2012. Comparison of the effect of different soilless growing media on the growth characteristics of benjamin tree (Ficus benjamina). Int. J. Agric. Bio. 14: 985-988.
- Ahmad, W., M. Junaid, M. Nafees, M. Farooq and B.A. Saleem. 2004. Effect of pruning severity on growth behavior of spur and bunch morphology of grapes (Vitis vinifera L.). Int. J. Agri. Biol. 6: 160-161.
- Ahn, J.H., S. Kim, H. Park and B. Rahm. 2008. N20 emissions from activated sludge processes, 2008-2009: results of a national monitoring survey in the United States. Environ. Sci. Tech. 44: 450-454.
- Akparobi, S.O. 2009. Effect of farmyard manures on the growth and yield of Amaranthus cruentus. Horti. Sci. 10: 67-78.
- Alidoust, M., A.M. Torkashv and A.M. Khomami. 2012. The effect of growth medium of peanut shells compost and nutrient solution on the growth of dracaena. Ann. Biol. Res. 3: 789-794.
- Ambebe, T. F., A. E. W. Agbor, C. H. S. Siohdjie. 2018. Effect of different growth media on sprouting and early growth of cutting-propagated Cordiaafricana (Lam.). Intern. J. of Forest, Animal and Fisheries Resear. 2 (1): 28-33.

- Aswath, C. and P. Padmanabha. 2004. Effect of cocopeat medium and electrical conductivity on production of gerbera. J. Orna. Horti. 7: 15-22.
- Bernstein, L., 1975. Effects of Salinity and Sodicity on Plant Growth. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 13: 295– 312.
- Cardens, M.C.A., I.F.R. Gomez, V.J.F. Ronacancio, B.C. Cordoba and P.W. Canola. 2006.
- Growth analysis of standard carnation cv. 'Nelson' in different substrate. Acta Horti. 718: 623-630.
- Duryea, M.L., G. N. Brown. 1984. Seedling Physiology and Reforestation Success. Proceedings of the Physiology Working Group Technical Session, Society of American Foresters National Convention, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- El-Naggar, A.H and A.B. El-Nasharty. 2009. Effect of growing media and mineral fertilization on growth, flowering, bulbs productivity and chemical constituents of Hippeastrum vittatu herb. American Eurasian J. Agric. Envi. Sci. 6: 360-371.
- Farooq, M., K. Kakar, M.k. Golly, I. Naila, Z. Bakhshah, K. Ismail, K. Shoaib, K. Iltaf, S.
- Abdul and B. Muhammad. 2018. Comparative effect of potting media on sprouting and seedling growth of grape cuttings. Int. J. Environ. Agric. Res. 4: 2454-1850.
- Gülcü, S., H.C. Gültekin, S. Çelik, Y. Eser and N. Gürlevik. 2010. The effects of different pot length and growing media on seedling quality of Crimean juniper (Juniperus excelsa B.). African. J. Biotech. 14: 2101-2107.
- Haq, I. U., A. Ghaffar, H. Umar and I.S.E. Bally. 2017. Evaluation of potting media for rapid growth of mango nursery plants. Acta Horti. 22: 11-18.
- Hussain, R., A. Younis, A. Riaz, U. Tariq, S. Ali, A. Ali and S. Raza. 2017. Evaluating sustainable and environment friendly substrates for quality production of potted Caladium. Int. J. Org. Waste Agri. 6: 13-21.
- Ingram, D.L., R.W. Henley and T.H. Yeager. 1993. Growth media for container grown ornamental plants. Uni. Florida Cooperative Ext. Ser. Insti. Food Agric. Sci, EDIS.
- Jackson, G.G. 1962. Gram-negative bacteremia: I. Etiology and ecology. Archives of internal medicine. 6: 847-855.
- Jailani, G., M.J. Atif, M.H.A. Malik, N. Saleem, H. Ullah, M.Z. Khan and S. Ikram. 2016.

- Different growth media effect the germination and growth of tomato seedlings. Sci. Tech. Dev. 35: 123-127.
- Kambooh, C.M.S. 1984. Desi Khadein. Zarat Nama. 23: 26-28.
- Khair, S.M., M. Ahmed and E. Khan. 2009. Profitability analysis of grapes orchards in Pishin, an ex-post analysis. Sarhad. J. Agric. 25: 103-111.
- Khan, B., S. Tawab, N. Ali, S. Ali, M.M. Anjum and W. Zaman1. 2017. Effect of Different Growing Media on the Growth and Germination of Mango. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Nat. Res. 4: 22-30.
- Khan, M., M.A. Khan, M. Abbas, M.J. Jaskani, M. Ali and H. Abbas. 2002. Evaluation of different potting media for the production of rough lemon nursery stock. Pak. J. Bot. 3: 38-62.
- Makeen, K., G.S. Babu, G.R. Lavanya and A. Grard. 2007. Studies of chlorophyll content by different methods in black gram (Vigna mungo L.). Int. J. Agric. Res. 2: 651-654.
- Mhango, J. 2008. Effect of growing medium on early growth and survival of Uapaca kirkiana Müell Arg. seedlings in Malawi. African J. Biotech. 7: 55-70.
- Moodie, A.F. 1959. The scattering of electrons by atoms and crystals. Single-crystal diffraction patterns. Acta Crystallo. Graphica, 12: 360-367.
- Nazari, F., H. Farahmand, M.K. Khui and H. Salehi. 2011. Effects of coir as a component of potting media on growth, flowering and physiological characteristics of hyacinth (Hyacinthus orientalis L. cv. Sonbol-e-Irani). Int. J. Agric. Food Sci. 1: 34-38.
- Olsen, S.R., C.V. Cole, F.S. Watanabe and L.A. Dean. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. United States Dep. Agric. 939.
- Qureshi, Z., M. Usman, M. Shah, M.H. Badar, A. Fatima and B. Sabir. 2014. Media steaming and coco-coir enhance growth of rough lemon (Citrus` jambhiri L.) stock. Pak. J. Agric. Sci. 51: 33-41.
- Rani, S., A.E. Ryan, M.D. Griffin and T. Ritter. 2015. Standardization of best soil media and time of guava propagation through cuttings under Jammu sub tropics. Bioscan. 10: 991-1001.
- Riaz, A., M. Arshad, A. Younis, A. Raza and M. Hameed. 2008. Effects of different growing media on growth and flowering of zinnia elegans cv. blue point. Pak. J. Bot. 40: 1579-1585.
- Sahin, U., O. Anapali and S. Ercisli. 2002. Physico-Chemical

and Physical Properties of some Substrates Used in Horticulture. Gartenbauwissenschaft, 67: 55-60.

- Salinity Lab Staff US. 1954. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soil. USDA Handbook. USA 58-74.
- Shah, M., A.M. Khattak and N. Amin. 2006. Effects of different growing media on the rooting of 'Amstel Queen' (Ficus binnendijkii) cuttings. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 1: 15-17.
- Shahid, A., R. Hussain, A. Riaz, A. Younis, M.M. Javaid, S.Z.H. Shah, S. Rashid, S. Ali, M.W. Haider and A. Raza. 2017. Effect of different substrates on vegetative growth and quality of cast iron (Aspidistra elatior L.). Int. J. Biol. Sci. 10: 297-308.
- Singh, A.K., A.K. Mittal, R. Singh and Y. Singh. 2016. Progressive Horti. 35: 78-81.
- Wilson, S., P. Stoffella and D. Graetz. 2001. Use of compost

as a media amendment for containerized production of two subtropical perennials. J. Environ. Hort. 19: 37-42.

- Worrall, R.J. 2011. Comparison of composted hardwood and peat based media for production of seedlings. Sci. Horti. 15: 311-319.
- Younis, A., A. Riaz, F. Javaid, M. Ahsan, U. Tariq, S. Aslam and N. Majeed. 2015. Influence of various growing substrates on growth and flowering of potted miniature rose cultivar "Baby Boomer". J. Agric. Sci. 1: 28-33.
- Abd el Gayed, M.E. and E.A. Attia. 2018. Impact of growing media and compound fertilizer rates on growth and flowering of cocks comb (Celosia argentea) plants. Journal of Plant Production. 9 (11): 895-900.

Publisher's note: EScience Press remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if

changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>.