J. Plant Breed. Genet. 02 (02) 2014. 57-61

Available Online at ESci Journals

Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics

ISSN: 2305-297X (Online), 2308-121X (Print)

http://www.escijournals.net/JPBG



STUDIES ON GENETIC DIVERGENCE IN *GOSSYPIUM ARBOREUM* L.

[a]Rumesh Ranjan*, [a]Rajvir S. Sangwan, [a]Surender S. Siwach, [a]Omender Sangwan, [b]Mitali Sah, [a]Nancee Singh

[a] *Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India.*

[b] *Scientist, Regional Agriculture Research Station, Parwanipur, Bara, Nepal.*

ABSTRACT

On the basis of D^2 values, sixty genotypes were grouped into eight clusters containing one to fourteen genotypes. These clusters consisted of genotypes with different geographical origins and indicated no correlation between genetic divergence and geographical divergence. The genotypes of Cluster VIII showed maximum genetic divergence with Cluster I and cluster V. The genotypes belonging to cluster VIII and cluster I may be selected for hybridization for generating genetic variability. Cluster VI having six genotypes was found to be best performing for agronomic characters followed by cluster VIII with one genotype and cluster V with eight genotypes. Thus to generate desirable genetic variability the crossing between cluster VI, VIII and V genotypes would be useful. It is suggested that hybridization among the genotypes of above said clusters would produce segregants for more than one economic character which can serve as parents of hybrids. Days to first flower followed by seed cotton yield per plant, number of monopods and plant height contribute maximum toward divergence.

Keywords: *G. arboreum*, D^2 statistic, cluster, genetic divergence.

INTRODUCTION

Gossypium arboreum is a native of India. It is cultivated from Punjab in the north to Kanyakumari in the south and Assam in the east to Kutch (Gujarat) in the west. *G. arboreum* genotypes matures between 150 to 180 days and is usually having coarse and short fibred and has high degree of resistance to disease and insect pests and is very valuable germplasm resources and have great export value. Indian cotton productivity is too low (496 kg/ha) as against the world's productivity (766kg/ha).

It has also been well established that greater the genetic variability in the population greater will be the chance of obtaining desirable gene combination. Genetics divergence is of considerable practical interest in any crop improvement programme. The wide range in genetic diversity available in India has not been fully exploited to improve the yield. Mahalanobis's D^2 statistic as a tool for estimating genetic divergence in crop plants that can be used to choose the parents without making crosses before the initiation of hybridization programme (Bhatt, 1970). Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to study the nature and magnitude of genetic divergence in sixty cotton genotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS The present investigation was carried out in research area of Cotton Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during kharif season of 2011. Sixty diverse cotton genotypes from different cotton growing states were collected and raised in a randomized block design (RBD) in three replications. There were two rows of each genotype of three meter length. Rows were spaced 67.5cm apart and plant to plant distance with in a row was 30 cm. The data were recorded on five competitive plants selected randomly from each replication. The mean of five plants was used for statistical analysis. Recommended package of practices were followed for raising the crop. The data on the nine parameters were recorded *viz*, days to first flower, plant height (cm), number of monopods, number of bolls per plant, boll weight (g), seed cotton yield per plant (g), ginning out turn (%), seed index (g) and lint index (g). The genetic divergence was worked out by using Mahalanobis D^2 statistic as described by Rao (1952). On the basis of D^2 values, these genotypes were grouped into different clusters by employing Euclidean cluster analysis.

---

* Corresponding Author:

Email ID: rumeshranjan5@gmail.com

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The analysis of variance for different traits revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes for the all the nine characters suggested high degree of genetic variability in the material evaluated and specified existence of considerable genetic diversity among genotypes. Hence, further analysis was carried out for relative magnitude of D^2 values for all the characters and all genotypes were grouped into eight clusters (Table 1 and Figure 1). Among the clusters, the maximum number of genotypes (14) were included in cluster II followed by Cluster I (10), Clusters III, IV and V accommodated eight genotypes each, cluster VI accommodated six genotypes, cluster VII possessed five genotypes and cluster VIII contained solitary genotype. Generally, geographical diversity has been considered as a measure of genetic diversity. However, this is an inferential criterion and it may not be so effective in quantifying different populations. The present pattern of grouping of genotypes indicated that the genetic diversity was not fully related to the geographical diversity. These results are in agreement with the findings of Pathak *et al.* (2007), Satish *et al.* (2009) and Kulkarni *et al.* (2011). There are forces other than geographical separation which are responsible for diversity such as natural and artificial selection, exchange of breeding material, genetic drift and environmental variation etc. Therefore, choice of the parents for hybridization should be decided on the basis of genetic diversity rather than geographic diversity.

Table 1: Clustering pattern 60 genotypes of Asiatic cotton on D2 analysis.

Clusters	Number of genotypes	Name of genotypes
I	10	LD 949, CCA-A1, GAM 162, RAC 024, NDLA2977, HD 384, RG 600, HD 387, RG 585, HD 509.
II	14	HD 496, HD 383, HD 489, HD 386, LD 944, HD 511, RG 587, HD 510, RG 575P3, HD 385, RG 587, CAD 3, RG 542, SVA 1118.
III	8	FDK 178, CNA 1009, Arbas131, KWA-1001, NDLA 2981, CISA 105, CNA 1008, GBav 127.
IV	8	HD123, HD 418, HD 462-1 P1, CCA 1010, JLA-703, HD 507, HD 388, AKA 06-5.
V	8	RG 590, CISA 7R, GAM 147, AKA2005-3, HD 402, HD 499, HD 432, HD 462.
VI	6	LD 973, FDK 190, GBav 124, HD 499-1, HD 462-2, Das 1041.
VII	5	PA 719, HD 508, P A 710, Das 1042, RAAS 1031.
VIII	1	HD 324.



Figure 1. Dendrogram based on Euclidean2 distance illustrated the genetic relationship among sixty genotypes.

The intra and inter cluster distances revealed that inter cluster distances were greater than intra cluster distances which suggest considerable amount of genetic diversity among genotypes (Table 2 and Figure 2). The maximum intra-cluster distance was observed for cluster VII (3.546) followed by the cluster V (3.263), cluster II (3.096), cluster IV (2.745) and so on. Whereas, cluster VIII is solitary with single genotype so intra cluster distance would be minimum. The high intra cluster distance in cluster VII indicated the presence of wide genetic diversity among the genotypes in this cluster *viz*. PA 719, HD 508, PA 710, Das 1042, RAAS 1031. The nearest inter cluster distance is found between cluster III and IV (3.145) followed by cluster I and IV (3.437), cluster I and II (3.754) and so on. The widest inter cluster distance was found between cluster I and VIII (6.862) followed by cluster V and VIII (6.538), cluster IV and VIII (6.439) and so on. Maximum genetic divergence between the cluster points to the fact that hybridization among the genotypes included with them would produce potential and meaningful hybrids and desirable segregants. Use of genetically distant genotypes as parents to get the most promising breeding material had also been suggested by Jain and Yadav (2001), Gururajan and Manickam (2002), Pathak *et al.* (2007), Sakhti *et al*. (2009) and Kulkarni *et al*. (2011). However, Altaher and Singh (2003) proved that more number of heterotic combinations with high heterosis was from parents grouped into divergenent groups like clusters VIII and I. The results obtained from clustering pattern are in agreement with hypothesis of moderate divergence for the best heterotic combinations.

Table 2. Average intra and inter cluster D^2 values of different clusters.

Clusters	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
I	2.588	3.754	3.806	3.437	4.687	5.342	5.302	6.862
II		3.096	4.216	3.935	4.279	4.480	4.669	5.923
III			1.946	3.145	3.972	4.908	3.859	5.201
IV				2.745	4.306	5.915	4.804	6.439
V					3.263	5.030	4.214	6.538
VI						2.783	4.424	5.624
VII							3.546	5.515
VIII								0.000



Euclidean Distance (Not to the Scale)

Figure 2. Intra and inter cluster distances among VIII clusters

The contribution of individual characters to the divergence has been worked out in terms of number of times it appeared first (Table 3). This study helps to identify diversity in different proportion which ultimately helps to decide utilization of genetic material for improvement of specific characters. Among the nine characters studied the highest contribution to the divergence was days to first flower followed by seed cotton yield per plant. Lowest was from lint index. Higher contribution of days to first flower and seed cotton yield to total divergence was also reported by Sakhti *et al.* (2009) and Kulkarni *et al.* (2011). The results imply that in order to select genetically diverse genotypes, the material should be screened for the important traits like days to first flower and seed cotton yield.

Table 3. Percentage contribution of different characters toward divergence in G. arboreum (Tocher Method).

Sr.	Source	Time ranked first	Percent contribution
1.	Days to first flower	800	45.20%
2.	Plant height	220	12.43%
3.	No. of bolls/ plant	64	3.62%
4.	No. of monopods	235	13.28%
5.	Boll weight	123	6.95%
6.	Seed cotton yield/plant	273	15.42%
7.	Ginning out turn	20	1.13%
8.	Seed index	28	1.58%
9.	Lint index	7	0.40%

Table 4. Cluster means of different traits.

Clusters	Days to first flower	Plant height	No. of bolls/plant	No. of monopods	Boll weight	Seed cotton yield/plant	Ginning out turn	Seed index	Lint index	Total score	Rank
I	53.1 (6)	171.2 (6)	31.2 (7)	3.8 (5)	1.9 (8)	56.1 (8)	39.8 (2)	4.8 (8)	3.2 (5)	55	VI
II	59.3 (4)	151.2 (8)	31.8 (6)	5.6 (2)	2.2 (7)	68.2 (6)	39.4 (3)	5.1 (5)	3.3 (3)	44	IV
III	54.5 (5)	198.0 (1)	33.0 (5)	3.2 (8)	2.4 (4)	70.8 (5)	35.7 (7)	5.3 (4)	2.9 (6)	45	V
IV	52.3 (8)	159.1 (7)	29.6 (8)	3.7 (6)	2.3 (6)	62.5 (7)	36.0 (6)	4.9 (6)	2.8 (8)	62	VII
V	52.6 (7)	178.4 (4)	39.7 (2)	5.9 (1)	2.4 (1)	87.1 (2)	37.2 (4)	4.9 (7)	2.9 (7)	35	III
VI	62.4 (2)	193.6 (2)	38.6 (3)	4.9 (4)	2.5 (4)	85.0 (3)	42.1 (1)	5.4 (3)	3.9 (1)	23	I
VII	59.8 (3)	171.8 (5)	41.4 (1)	3.4 (7)	2.5 (7)	94.5 (1)	36.9 (5)	5.4 (2)	3.2 (4)	35	III
VIII	64.6 (1)	192.6 (3)	33.3 (4)	5.4 (3)	2.3 (3)	73.3 (4)	33.8 (8)	7.0 (1)	3.6 (2)	29	II

Cluster mean for various traits (Table 4) revealed that considerable differences were noticed between the cluster means for different characters. Maximum mean values for seed cotton yield were observed in cluster VII, V, VI and VIII; for number of bolls per plant in clusters VII, V and VI; for boll weight in clusters VI, VII, V and III; and for ginning out turn in clusters VI, I and II. Thus, it was observed that genotype or genotypes grouped under cluster VI ranked first by having eight characters (1-3 scores) at desirable direction followed by genotypes under cluster VIII with five character (1-3 scores), cluster V with four character (1-3 scores) and cluster VII with five characters (1-3 scores). Genotypes grouped under cluster IV (last rank) recorded nine characters in negative direction (4-8 scores). Therefore use of genotypes in cluster VI, VIII, V and VII would desirable to generate the variability in the desired direction. It is suggested that hybridization among the genotypes of above said clusters would produce segregants for more than one economic character which can serve as parents of hybrids. These finding coincides with results of earlier studies (Gopinath *et al*., 2009 and Kulkarni *et al.,* 2011).

On the basis of D^2 values, sixty genotypes were grouped into eight clusters and the genotypes of Cluster VIII showed maximum genetic divergence with Cluster I and V, therefore genotypes of these clusters may be selected for hybridization for generating genetic variability. Cluster VI seems to be most promising for agronomic traits followed by cluster VIII. Thus, selection and use of these genotypes be useful to generate desirable genetic variability.

REFERENCES

Alather, A. F. and Singh, R. P. 2003. Genetic diversity studies in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) using two methods of clustering. Journal of Indian Society of Cotton Improvement, 28 (2): 158-163.

Bhatt, G. M. 1970. Multivariate analysis approach to selection of parents for hybridization aiming at yield improvement in self-pollinated crops. Austrialian Journal of Agriultural Research. 21: 1-7.

Gopinath, M., Rajamani, S., Naik, R. K. and Rao, C. H. M. 2009. Genetic divergence for lint characters for upland cotton (*G.hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Devlopment, 23(1): 46-48.

Gururajan, K. N. and Manickam, S. 2002. Genetic divergence in Egyptian cotton (*G.barbadense* L.). Journal of Indian Society of Cotton Improvement, 27(2): 77-83.

Jain, P. P. and Yadav, I. S. 2001. Studies on genetic divergence in American cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development, 15(1): 81-83.

Kulkarni, A. A., Nanda, H. C. and Patil, S. G. 2011. Studies on genetic divergence in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development, 25(1): 9-13.

Rao, C. R. 1952. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research, John Willey and Sons. Inc., New York, pp. 357-363.

Sakhti. A. R., Kumar, M. and Ravikesavan. R. 2009. Genetic diversity in cotton (*G.hirsutum* L.). Advance Plant Science, 22(1): 285-291.

Satish, Y., Jain, P. P. and Chhabra, B. S. 2009. Studies on genetic diversity in upland cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development, 23(1): 18-22.