



Available Online at ESci Journals

International Journal of Educational Studies

ISSN: 2312-458X (Online), 2312-4598 (Print)

<http://www.escijournals.net/IJES>

EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE (SELF-AWARENESS, SELF-MANAGEMENT, SOCIAL AWARENESS AND RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT) AND LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOR (TRANSFORMATIONAL AND TRANSACTIONAL) AMONG SCHOOL EDUCATOR LEADERS

Nurul H. Md-Nawi*, Marof Redzuan, Hanina Hamsan, Noor H. Md-Nawi

Schools of Psychology and social work, UMS, Faculty of Ecology, UPM & civilization department, UMK, Malaysia.

ABSTRACT

Various changes in the educational system have evolved for educator leaders recently. However, negative impacts on leader's emotional intelligence due to leadership behavior that arise problems in producing good quality of work performance. This study investigates the impact of an emotional intelligence on leadership behaviour as well as fitness of the suggestion hypothetical model and the observed data. Using a structured questionnaire derived from the literature, data were collected from 306 (89%) subjects from a 15 SBT (High Performance Schools) in Malaysia were chosen by cluster sampling procedure. The data were then analyzed using structural equation modeling (SEM); an acceptable level of model fit was found. Two sets of questionnaires to measure emotional intelligence (Emotional Competence Inventory) (ECI) and leadership behavior (Multi-factor leadership questionnaire) (MLQ) were administered. The inclusion criteria consisted of leaders in a school setting. Three-hundred-and-six educator leaders from fifteen SBT schools in Malaysia were recruited into the study. Respondents were on average 41 years old (range= 26-60 years). The Majority were female (n=174), education level (degree; n=255), and working experience (range=11-20 years; n=107). Structural equation modeling (SEM) technique was selected for data analysis via the analysis of moment structure (AMOS) software version 21. SEM results revealed that emotional intelligence had a significant impact on transformational leadership of an educator leaders. Besides that, standardized path coefficient also revealed that emotional intelligence had a significant impact on transactional leadership of a leader. On a practical note, the assessment of psychological constructs in school setting eg; EQ and leadership behavior could possibly assist in enhancing the work performances in delivering huge benefits to the society especially in the educational contexts.

Keywords: Emotional intelligence, leadership behavior.

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, all organizations find themselves constantly facing the challenge of having to cope with the rapidly changing environment. Many organizations include High Performance Schools (SBT) are very much influenced by turbulence associated with education globalization, changes in policy, educational innovation, liberalization technology, stakeholder expectation etc. Therefore, there is a growing need to be sustainable in the competitive environment. The difficulty of surviving within this situation leads to various problems among

student and teacher such as leads decreasing of achievement. The Malaysian government's effort to improve the performance and achievement in the education aspect began from providing a lot of funds and facilities. To acknowledge how crucial the importance of education sector in Malaysia, the research from a very dignified source stated that the education sector in Malaysia is 60% is more important than health and security (Budget Allocation Malaysia, 2010).

A proper and structured management of educator leaders in schools is vital to ensure the effectiveness of their services to the student. Thus, educator leaders should be protected against physical and emotional burden while facing organizational as well as changes in policy,

* Corresponding Author:

Email: nurul@ums.edu.my

© 2017 ESci Journals Publishing. All rights reserved.

communication technology and education system itself. In this changing process, educator leaders are constantly under pressure to find new and better solutions, which will preserve future competitiveness and performances. Studies have shown significant differences in human behavior when there are changes in the environment (Secord & Beckman, 1969; Piderit, 2000). Most of the changes are related to personality, emotional intelligence and many others. Others studied showed that personality and emotional intelligence are vital keys to achieve organizational goals and succeeding in changing environment (Eby, Adam, Russell & Gaby, 2000).

Educator leaders should try to survive and remain competitive, they are reorganizing, reimplementing and restructuring a new approach. In other words, they constantly try to change in order to fulfill the recognition and need to be sustainable in the competitive environment to continuously improve in the face of changing conditions to enhance the education system in Malaysia. These ongoing and seemingly endless efforts can put a lot of strain not only put a strain on school organization but also individual. According to Beer and Nohria (2000), 70 per cent of the changes process fail is due to the lack of strategy and vision, lack of communication and trust, problem in management (leaders), resistance to change, etc. that will affect the individual work performance. The key factor for school organizations to compete and sustain themselves is personality, leadership behavior and emotional aspect towards performances.

As the educator leaders in an organized group, like in any other profitable origination, it was required appropriate management. The need for good management comes from the fact that teacher profession can be cost-effective, but not cost-free. Thus, effective management of the people in school organizations can help minimize cost, and ensuring the continuity of good service to the school institution.

By pooling available resources, people can do much more than they can do alone. That is why there is a need to mobilize individuals in an organized manner especially in psychological construct. To manage educator leaders professionally, much like the human resource department in many organizations, the educator leaders in school institution need professional input especially from scholars and researchers in order to understand how to produce a high quality of work performances. Additionally, when it comes to improve

organizational effectiveness and enhance work performances, management from education scholars, intellectual discourse, and practitioners are beginning to emphasize the importance of a educator leader's emotional intelligence (EQ) and personality traits in order to achieve high work performance. Therefore, it is imperative study such as this is carried out to help identify these factors specifically that influence them to be engaged in organised manner to produce good quality of performances. The objective of this study are as follow 1) to determine the relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership 2) to determine the fitness of the suggested model with the sample data among educator leaders.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Emotional Intelligence: The concept of emotional intelligence was firstly introduced by Salovey & Mayer (1990) as three adaptive abilities namely the ability to: (1) appraise and express emotion, (2) regulate emotions and (3) utilize emotions in solving problems. Another definition of emotional intelligence is ability to recognize the meanings of emotions and their relationships, to reason and problem-solve on the basis of them (Mayer, Caruso & Salovey, 2000). This model of emotional intelligence involves four branches namely 'reflectively regulating emotions', 'understanding emotions', 'assimilating emotion in thought' and 'perceiving and expressing emotion'.

Other models then offer different numbers of EI component for example the model proposed by Dulewicz and Higgs (1999) has seven components (self-awareness, emotional resilience, motivation, interpersonal sensitivity, influence, intuitiveness, and conscientiousness and integrity). On the other hand, Daniel Goleman (1995 & 1998), affirms that emotional intelligence is an important factor in determining competency and personal success as a student, teacher, parent, manager and leader. The differing ways of conceptualizing emotional intelligence according Petrides and Furnham (2000) that listed three main models namely hierarchical model, (cognitive) ability model, and mixed models (personality variables plus cognitive ability).

Bar On (1997) has placed emotional intelligence in the context of personality theory as an umbrella concept of non-cognitive capabilities and skill to cope efficiently with environmental demands and pressures. He proposed a model of non-cognitive intelligences that

includes five broad areas of skills and more specific skills that appear to contribute to success. These include intra-personal skills, interpersonal skills, adaptability, stress management, and general mood (optimizing happiness). In the recent year, the most appropriate method of measuring emotional intelligence is currently an area of controversy. Because there are many conflicting emotional model, it is not easy work to describe emotional intelligence, the proximal roots of which lie in the work of Gardner (1983) and more specifically in his concept of intrapersonal and interpersonal intelligence (Mayer, Salovey & Caruso, 2004; Petrides, Frederickson & Furnham, 2004). Hence emotional intelligence is characterized by some researchers as an ability, involving the cognitive processing of emotional information, which is accordingly more appropriately measured by performance test. An alternative proposal is that emotional intelligence is a dispositional tendency like personality and can be assessed by self-assessment or self-report questionnaire.

There has been an interesting interest in the theoretical development of the concept of emotional intelligence to identify whether or not this newly introduced concept accounts for variance not already accounted for by intelligence and/or personality (Fox & Spector, 2000; Van der Zee et al, 2002) in various human transactions. Thus, it is not currently clear if emotional intelligence actually assesses the same construct, and in this context Petrides and Furnham (2001) have suggested the terminology 'ability EI' and 'trait EI' to distinguish the two measurement approaches. Ability EI or cognitive-emotional ability refers to one's actual ability to recognize, process and utilize emotion-laden information. Meanwhile trait EI refers to self-perceptions concerning ones' ability to recognize, process and utilize emotion-laden information. Petrides and Furnham (2003) further stated that ability and trait EI are different construct, but then their theoretical domain and concept may overlap each other.

Recent debates on EI have focused largely on whether trait EI measured by self-report/self-assessment tests has predictive power over above traditional personality traits. The results of several studies have indicated that trait EI might be a valid construct in the prediction of life satisfaction, somatic complaints, rumination and coping styles (Kluemper, 2008; Petrides, Pita & Kokkinaki, 2007). However, the ability of the trait EI in an academic setting is still unclear (Tok & Morali, 2009; Barchard,

2003). Consistent say that emotional intelligence as a construct has been shown to be an independent construct from the personality aspect (Caruso, Mayer & Salovey, 2002; McCrae, 2000; Zadal, 2004; Vakola, Tsaousis & Nikolauo, 2004; Shulman & Hemeenover,2006). They used an ability and trait to measure emotional intelligence and 16PF as personality measure. However, Higgs (2001) found a positive correlation between emotional intelligence and the function of Intuition, but not Feeling (Myer-Briggs Type Indicator). This finding shows that the relationship between emotional intelligence and personality is still far from clear.

For the current study, the authors retained the original items for translation Malay Language. The translation work was done to suit the scale for the educator participants and more importantly, for future use in the general local population. Therefore, the definition of emotional intelligence is the same as adopted by Goleman (2001). The construct is operationally defined as the score on the EI scale developed by Goleman (2001).

Leadership behavior: Leadership can be defined as the process where, upon contact, humans influence each other's behavior (Nordin et al. 2001). There are two types of leadership behavior have been used in this study; transformational and transactional leadership among the educator leaders in schools.

Transformational Leadership: The transformational leadership focuses on what the leader accomplishes rather than on the leader's personal interest and his relationship with group members. Dubrin (2007) reports that transformational leadership focuses on a leader's understanding to bring about major, positive changes by moving group members beyond his self-interest and toward the good of the group, organization or society. The essence of transformational leadership is developing by motivating, inspiring, encourage subordinates to be more creative and generate new ideas on how to solve problem or develop new ways to achieve the goals. The transformational leadership can be subdivided into four factors; idealized influences or charisma, inspirational motivation, individual consideration and intellectual stimulation.

Transactional Leadership: Recently, transactional leadership is often used by many companies. According to Dubrin (2007) define transactional leaders focuses on more routine transactions rewarding group members for meeting standards (contingent reinforcement). It is

the pattern of leadership prevalent in most organizations because it contains a basic mechanism of exchange relationship which becomes possible when there is no outstanding sense of impending threat or anxiety. The concept of transactional leadership is narrow in that it does not take the entire situation or future of the organization in mind when offering rewards (Crosby, 1996). According to Clawson (2005) and Judge & Bono (2000) transactional leadership has four characteristics namely contingent reward, passive management by exception, active management by exception and laissez-faire.

Linking Emotional Intelligence and Leadership Behavior: Previous research has explored the concept of emotional intelligence as the ability both to know one's own emotions and read others' emotions (Goleman, 2001). It is an important collection of a series of capabilities and abilities as the person able to maintain his motivation and resist against difficulties, postpone his impulsivities, adjust his own moods, empathy with others and hopefully (Akharzade, 2004). In recent years, many different aspects of emotions, motives, and personality that help determine interpersonal effectiveness of leadership skill have been placed under the comprehensive label of emotional intelligence.

Based on research by Goleman (2001), discovered that the most effective leaders are alike in one essential way; they all have a high degree of emotional intelligence. Yet according to him again, without a high degree of emotional intelligence, a leader can have excellent training, superior analytical skills and loads of innovative suggestions, but he/she still will not make a great leader. This is consistent with the finding by Palmer, Walls, Burgess, and Stough (2001) stated, EI has fast become popular as a means for identifying potentially effective leaders and as a tool for nurturing effective leadership skills. Their findings indicate that EI, which is measured by a person's ability to monitor and manage emotions within one's self and in others, may be an underlying competency of transformational leadership. Gardner and Stough (2002) found that the two underlying competencies of effective leadership are the ability to monitor emotions in one's self and in others. In fact, their research supported the existence of a strong relationship between TL and overall EI. It was found that EI correlated highly with all the components of TL, with the components of understanding of emotions and

emotional management being the best predictors of this type of leadership style. Leaders who considered themselves transformational not transactional reported that they could identify their own feelings and emotional states, express those feelings to others, utilize emotional knowledge when solving problems, understand the emotions of others in their workplace, manage positive and negative emotions in themselves and others, and effectively control their emotional states.

Barling et al. (2000) found that EI is associated with TL. In contrast, active and passive management and laissez faire management were not associated with EI. Analysis by Sivanathan and Fekken (2002) showed that the followers perceived leaders with high EI as more effective and transformational. They found that EI conceptually and empirically linked to TL behaviors. Hence, they concluded that having high EI increased one's TL behaviors.

According to Barling et al. (2000) asserted that EI is associated with the three aspects of TL (idealized influence, inspirational motivation, and individualized consideration) and the contingent reward. This is also consistent with the finding by Downey, Papageorgiou and Stough (2006) found that emotional intelligence was associated with three aspects of transformational leadership (charismatic, inspirational motivation, individualized consideration). Similarly, Yung and Tung (2009) found some evidence to support the relationship between emotional and leadership potentials.

Indeed the subordinates see individuals with higher EI as displaying more leadership behaviors. Controlling for attribution style, they also demonstrated that those three aspects of TL and constructive transactions differed according to level of EI. Leaders who can identify and manage their own emotions and who display self-control and delay gratification, serve as role models for their followers, thereby earning followers' trust and respect. This would be consistent with the essence of idealized influence. In fact, Gardner and Stough (2002) found that leaders with a high EI component of understanding emotions were able to perceive accurately the extent to which followers' expectations can be raised. This is related to the TL's subcomponent of inspirational motivation.

Consistent with the conceptualization of idealized influence (the component of TL), leaders are able to understand and manage their emotions and display self-control, thus acting as role models for followers, earning

their followers' trust and respect. They found that the ability to monitor emotions within oneself and others correlated significantly with the TL components of idealized attributes and behaviors. With emphasis on understanding other people's emotions, leaders with high EI would be able to realize the extent to which they can raise followers' expectations, a sign of inspirational motivation.

Apart from that, Gardner and Stough (2002) found that a major component of individualized consideration is the capacity to understand followers' needs and interact accordingly. With emphasis on empathy and the ability to manage relationships positively, leaders having EI are likely to manifest individualized consideration. In addition, Palmer et al. (2001) found that the inspirational motivation and individualized consideration components of TL are significantly correlated with the ability to both monitor and manage emotions in oneself and others. The ability to monitor and manage emotions is one of the underlying

attributes that characterize the individual consideration component of effective TL.

Gardner and Stough (2002) found that the ability to manage emotions in relationships allows the emotionally intelligent leader to understand followers' needs and to react accordingly (related to the component of individualized consideration). The ability to monitor and manage emotions in oneself and others were both significantly correlated with the inspirational motivation and individualized consideration components of TL. Barling et al. (2000) found that individuals high in EI use transformational behaviors. With EI being instrumental for TL behavior.

H1: Emotional intelligence has a significant effect on transformational leadership of the educator leaders.

H1: Emotional intelligence has a significant effect on transactional leadership of the educator leaders.

In relation to the above said literature, Figure 1 illustrate the proposed theoretical framework.




Figure 1. Proposed Theoretical Framework.

METHODOLOGY

Research design: The authors opted for a cross-sectional design. This study chooses survey techniques and questionnaires to collect the data and conducted in the natural environment of the organization.

Sample Procedure & Participant: Sample procedure refers to the process of selecting individuals from the target population. Thus, sampling is the process of selecting a sufficient number of individuals from the population so that by studying the sample, and understanding the properties of the sample respondents, it will be possible to generalize the properties to the populations elements (Sekaran 1999). In the current study, the sampling frame was acquired from 15 High Performance Schools (SBT) in Malaysia based on five selected locations (Zone North, East, South, Middle, Sabah/Sarawak). Then, the sample was chosen by using purposive design that involved such as principals, senior assistant 1, senior assistant 2, Co-Curriculum of senior assistant, head of the program and also head of core-subject. The rationale for selecting the heads of the committee representing each subject is because they are

directly 1) involved and have a role in the administration of the school as the division of the workload of teachers, 2) has the role of formal membership and position in the organization of the school that can be called as leader in school setting.

Procedure of research: Before the study began, ethical approval was first obtained from the Education Planning And Research Division (EPRD), Boarding School Management & School Excellence Division, Ministry of Education Malaysia (KPM) and State Education Department. Upon this official clearance, initial verbal contact was then made to the selected SBT schools authorities to explain the intention of the study. This process was later followed by an official letter of application plus the approval from the Ministry of Education. Upon receiving the official approval from each school, a further verbal discussion through telephone was made. The aim was to arrange the date and time of the days suitable for meeting the subjects.

Instrument Translation and Cross -Cultural Adaptation: Both instruments were initially translated

into Malay (forward translation) by two bilingual translators who were Malay native speakers working independently of each other. The two Malay versions were revised by researchers and reconciled into one Malay version. This was then back-translated into English by a native English speaker who has a good command of the Malay language. Following this, further discussions and modifications were carried out by the researchers based on the forward and back versions before generating the final Malay instruments. The Malay MLQ and Malay Brief ECI were then administered to a small group of teacher (n=22) with the aim of testing its psychometric properties as well as to suit its application in the Malaysian culture. They were found to be reliable i.e. overall Cronbach's alpha value for Malay MLQ - 32 items was 0.753 while the value for Malay Brief ECI was 0.719. Based on these outcomes and additional comments from respondent regarding questionnaire contents, further modifications were carried out to produce the finalised instruments - renamed Malay MLQ and Malay Brief ECI. These were the instruments administered in the actual study.

Instruments: The questionnaire pack comprises sections that measure demographic information, emotional intelligence and leadership behavior. All parts of the questionnaire have both English and Malay Language versions. Back translation method was used to ensure the accuracy of the translation. As for demographic, the respondents were required to answer questions about their age, sex, race, religion, marital status and experiences as leaders in school.

To assess leadership behavior, the questionnaire comprised 2 subscale (transformational and transactional) adopted from the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ5X) whereas for The Emotional Competency Inventory consists of 4 sub scale namely Self-awareness, Self-management, Social awareness and Relationship management for measuring global emotional intelligence of the subject. In this study the respondent were asked to express their opinion based on the Likert Scale point.

Data Collection & Statistical analysis: On the agreed meeting day, the researchers and assistants met, explained and invited potential respondent to participate in the study. An information sheet was given to enhance their understanding on the nature of the study as well as clarifying the particulars needed, the instrument used and what was required from them.

Once agreed, participants signed a written consent form and proceeded to complete the set of instruments in this order: *Personal Information Form, Malay MLQ and Malay Brief ECI*. Once completed, they were thanked for their participation. Those not present on the study day were required to do the same. A teacher coordinator was identified and briefly-trained to help with instrument administration. He/she also served to assist in collecting future-completed instruments as well as to send them back to the investigators on stamped-addressed envelopes.

In this study, there were 321 (93.5%) respondents who completed the study. But only 306 (89.2%) (132=Male;174=Female) questionnaires were included in the analysis after screening process was done. The data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows 16.0 and Analysis of Moment Structures (AMOS) 18. Internal consistency was used as the reliability estimate for all scales. For this purpose, α -Cronbach was calculated and presented in Table 1. For the criterion validity, inter-item correlation was conducted by using Pearson, it was indicated sowing score MLQ5x range from .504 to .639 where as ECI range from 0.532 to 0.707.

RESULT

The respondent in this study consisted of 306 (92.7%). In term of gender, 132 (43.1%) of the respondents were male, and 174 (56.9%) were female. Educator leaders of various in educations level; 1 (0.3%) were phd, 43 (14.1%) were master, 255(83.3%) were degree, 4 (1.3) diploma and 3 (1.) were certificate. 107(41.8%) of the respondent were between 41 and 50 years old, 102 (34%) were between 51 and 60 years old, 76(14.7%) were between 31 and 40 years old and 21(9.5%) were between 26-30.

Table 1. Demography of respondent.

Aspect of Demography	Frequency	Percent (%)
Gender		
Male	132	43.1
Female	174	56.9
Age		
26 - 30 years	21	9.5
31 - 40 years	76	14.7
41 - 50 years	107	41.8
51 - 60 years	102	34
Level of education		
STPM	3	1.0
Diploma	4	1.3

Degree	255	83.3
Master	43	14.1
Phd	1	0.3
Duration of service		
Less than 5 years	21	6.9
5 - 10 years	76	24.8
11 - 20 years	107	35
More than 20 years	102	33.3

Structural equation modeling: A two-step SEM approach measurement model and structure model, were used to confirm the reliability and validity of the measures before examining the structural relationship between constructs. This study used maximum

likelihood for the estimation methods as it provides a consistent approach to parameter estimation problems that can be developed for large variety of estimation situations.

Measurement model: The measurement model was assessed via the evaluation of the reliability, convergent validity and discriminant validity of the construct measurement.

Reliability analysis: The reliability analysis was tested by referring to the Cronbach’s alpha and composite reliability values. Adequate reliability presence when Cronbach’s alpha and composite reliability values surpassed 0.70 (Hair et al., 2010). Table 2 details that both readings exceeded the recommended value, signifying acceptable reliability among the measures.

Table 2. Reliability and Confirmatory Factor Item Loadings.

Construct	Item	Standardized Loading	Cronbach’s Alpha	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted
Emotional Intelligence	SA	0.880	0.970	0.945	0.589
	SM	0.920			
	SA	0.880			
	RM	0.940			
Transformational			0.917	0.903	0.755
Transactional			0.835	0.929	0.622

Note:(SA=Self-awareness,SM=Self-management,SA=Social awareness and RM=Relationship management.

Convergent validity: Convergent validity was evaluated following Hair et al. (2014)’s suggestions by checking (i) item loadings, (ii) average variance extracted (AVE), and (iii) composite reliability (CR). Hair et al. (2014) noted that the loadings should be >0.70, AVE > 0.50, and CR > 0.70. Initially, emotional support consisted 63 measurement items and leadership styles entailed 32 measurement items. Several items were discard for haing lower loadings and not fulfilling the recommended value. Consequently, Table 2 lists that the remaining item with loadings greater than the threshold value of 0.70. Furthermore, the AVE above 0.50, and the CR exceed 0.70. These results entails that the convergent validity was accomplished.

Discriminant validity: Discriminant validity is the extent to which a construct is truly distinct from other constructs by empirical standards. In other words, establishing discriminant validity implies that a construct is unique and captures phenomena not represented by other construct in the model. According

to Hair *et. al* (2014) there are two measures of discriminant validity; First, by examining the cross loadings of the indicators. Specifically, an indicator's outer loading on the associated construct should be greater than all of its loadings on other construct. Here, Table 5 shows that all the items measuring a particular construct highly loaded on that construct and loaded lower on the other constructs thus confirming the first criterion of discriminant validity. Second, Fornell-Larcker criterion by comparing the square root of the AVE values with the latent variable correlations.

Specifically, the square root of each construct's AVE should be greater than its highest correlation with any other construct. According to Table 3, the square correlations for each construct are lower than the average extracted (AVE) by the indicators measuring construct indicating adequate discriminant validity. Here, it was found that overall, the measurement model demonstrated adequate convergent validity and discriminant validity.

Table 3. Discriminant validity.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Emotional intelligence	0.877						
2	Transformational	0.346	0.879					
3	Transactional	0.469	0.251	0.836				
4	Relationship Management	0.338	0.826	0.305	0.869			
5	Social Awareness	0.309	0.873	0.227	0.856	0.789		
6	Self-Management	0.400	0.688	0.269	0.642	0.634	0.775	
7	Self-Awareness	0.486	0.798	0.360	0.765	0.775	0.713	0.814

Note: Diagonal in bold is the square root of AVE.

Table 4 shows that the Chi-square/df ratios (1.848) were lower than the recommended value of 3.0 (Carmines and Mclver, 1981). The root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) value (0.055) was lesser than the cut-off value of 0.08 (Browne and

Cudeck, 1993; Hu and Bentler, 1999). Besides that, all other indices (i.e., GFI, CFI, IFI, and NFI estimates) were greater than the threshold value of 0.90 (Browne and Cudeck, 1993). Results implies that the goodness-of-fit indices of the model is adequate.

Table 4. Goodness-of-fit Indices.

Fit indices	Recommended Level of Fit	CurrentModel
Absolute Fit Measures		
X^2 (Chi-square)		36.973
df (Degree of Freedom)		20
Chi-square/df (X^2 /df)	<3	1.848
GFI (Goodness of Fit Index)	>0.9	0.932
RMSEA (Root Mean Square of Approximation)	<0.08	0.055
Incremental Fit Measure		
CFI (Comparative Fit Index)	>0.90	0.941
IFI (Incremental Fit Index)	>0.90	0.936
NFI (Normed Fit Index)	>0.90	0.931
AGFI (Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index)	>0.80	0.924
Parsimony Fit Measures		
PCFI (Parsimony Comparative Fit Index)	>0.50	0.761
PNFI (Parsimony Normed Fit Index)	>0.50	0.758

Structural Model: A structural model was executed to test the hypothesized relationships by referring to the results of *t*-values, and *p*-values and R^2 . The first hypothesis, H1, posited that emotional intelligence has a significant effect on transformational leadership of the educator leaders. Table 5 shows the path relationship between endogenous and exogenous constructs namely emotional intelligence (which is the IV or predictors) and

leadership behavior which are the element (DV) of study. Emotional intelligence ($\beta =0.638$, $t = 18.70$, $p< 0.05$) is observed to indirectly impacting transformational leadership tested in this study. It also was found to have a greater direct impact on transformational leadership compare to the direct impact emotional intelligence on transactional leadership ($\beta =0.338$, $t = 12.73$, $p< 0.05$). Hence, H1 and H2 are supported.

Table 5. Summary of Hypothesis Testing Results.

Path			β	S.E.	C.R.	<i>p</i>	Results	
H1	Emotional Intelligen	--->	Transformational	0.638*	0.034	4.771	0.000	Supported
H2	Emotional Intelligen	--->	Transactional	0.338*	0.027	3.524	0.000	Supported

Note: * $p<0.05$; β = standardized beta coefficients; S.E = standard error; C.R = critical ratio.

DISCUSSION

The finding support the nation that organization speciaaly educational context should provide an adequate conducive environment and training of EI for leaders. Emphasizing more training in emotional intelligence would help leaders to be more open in expressing their emotions thus will help them to manage their emotions to enhance their performance as well as adjust their personality consistently and leadership

behavior. As for the suggestion model, it indicates that the model fit the data This enables leaders to prioritize their work activity accordingly with respect to their potential as far as the objective and organization target. Nonetheless, the conceptual framework of this research provides leaders with a practical organizational model that can be utilized to assess and understand the internal strengths and weaknesses to improve the level of individual performance.




Figure 2. Results of the Structural Model.

Note:(SA=Self-awareness,SM=Self-management,SA=Social awareness and RM=Relationship management).

This study enhanced understanding of the effect of emotional intelligence with an emotion are response process masrk as quality benchmark for the successful individual in every work setting. This study contributes to the field of leadership, especially in terms of the impact EI based on style leadership. This consistent with DuBrin et al (2006) propose that how well a person manages his or her emotions and those of others can influence leadership effectiveness (DuBrin et l 2006; George, 2000; Rosete, 2005). Leadership is a social, emotion-laden process (George, 2000; Kerr et al 2006). Theoretical and empirical research has shown a positive relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership (Brown & Moshavi, 2005). Transformational leadership is characterized by leaders and followers being in an exchange relationship. The four dimensions of transformational leadership are idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation and individual consideration. (DuBrin et al 2006).Research has shown that transformational leadership is effective and enhances organizational performance. Palmer, stresses that transformational leadership is emotion-based and involves heightened emotional levels (Palmer et al 2001). Leaders with higher emotional intelligence are said to foster more

productive working relationships and engage in behaviours that are supportive of organisational goals. High emotional intelligence leaders are better at understanding social context and emotional states than Leaders with low emotional intelligence (Brown & Moshavi, 2005). Leadership is a two-way emotional process, where leaders recognize the emotional states and needs of their followers and attempt to influence these emotions in order to achieve a desired outcome (Kerr et al 2006). Emotional intelligence plays a vital component in an individual's social effectiveness (Kerr et al 2006). Research has shown the individuals recruited to leadership roles are more behaviorally flexible and consequently are able to perceive and predict variations in-group situations and adjust their behavioral responses accordingly.

CONCLUSION

In today's competitive environment, transformational leadership gives organization significant competitive advantage. It was shown by the meta analytic study by Harms and Crede' (2010) and Joseph Newman (2010) revealed that the relationship between transformational leadership and emotional intelligence and their impact on performance are influenced by research context and the measure of emotional intelligence which is applied.

In fact, emotional intelligence has been illustrated to be a key determinant of effective leadership. Research has found that leaders who rated highly in the emotional intelligence scores were more flexible in their response to changes in social environments and built stronger supportive networks (Kerr et al 2007). Emotions are signals, which individuals use to respond to changes in relationships between individuals and the environment (Mayer et al 2000). This current study provide preliminary evidence for the relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership behaviour as the result indicates the fitness of the model and data.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express sincere appreciation to the Universiti Putra Malaysia, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, all educator leader in SBT for their extended long-term support and especially to supervisor Dr Marof Redzuan for his vast reserve of patience and knowledge. This articles would never have been completed without the encouragement and devotion of my family and friends.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Ghani, Abd. Rahman & Mohammed Zohir. (2010). *Gaya-gaya Kepimpinan dalam Pendidikan*. Kuala Lumpur: PTS Publishing.
- Barbuto, J.E. & Burbach, M.E. (2006). The emotional intelligence of transformational leaders: A field study of elected officials. *The Journal of Social Psychology* 146(1):51-64.
- Barent, J.M. (2005). *Principle level of emotional intelligence as influence on school culture*. Thesis PhD. Montana State University, USA.
- Barling, J., Slater, F., & Kelloway, E.K. (2000). Transformational leadership and emotional intelligence: An exploratory study. *Leadership and organization Development Journal*, 21(3), 157-161.
- Boyatzis, R.E. (2002). How and why individuals can develop emotional intelligence. Dlm. Cherniss, C & Goleman, D. (pnyt). *The emotionally intelligence workplace*, hlm. 234-253. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Boyatzis, R.E., & Sala, F. (2004). *The emotional competence inventory (ECI)*. In G. Geher (Ed.), *Measuring emotional intelligence: Common ground and controversy*. New York: Nova Science.
- Boyatzis, R.E., Good, D., & Massa, R. (2012). Emotional, social and cognitive intelligence and personality as predictors of sales leadership performance. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational studies*, 19(2), 191-201.
- Caruso, D., Mayer, J.D., & Salovey, P. (2002). *Emotional intelligence and emotional leadership*. In R. Riggo & S. Murphy & F.J. Pirozzolo (Eds.). *Multiple intelligence and leadership*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cavazotte, F, Moreno, V & Hickman, V. (2012). Effects of leader intelligence, personality and emotional on transformational and managerial performance, *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23.
- Coetzee, C. & Schaap, P. (2005). The relationship between leadership behaviour, outcomes of leadership and emotional intelligence. *Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 31(3), 31-38.
- Connelly, S., & Ruark, G. (2010). Leadership style and activating potential moderators of the relationships among leader emotional display and outcomes. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 745-764.
- Druskat, V. U., & Wolff, S. B. (2001). *Group emotional competence and its influence on group effectiveness*. In C. Cherniss & D. Goleman (Eds.), *Emotional competence in organizations* (pp. 132-155). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Dubrin A.J. (2007). *Leadership: Research findings, practice and skills*. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Gardner, L., & Stough, C. (2002). Examining the relationship between leadership and emotional intelligence in senior level manager. *Leadership and Organizational Development Journal*, 23, 68-78.
- Goleman, D. (2000). Leadership that gets results. *Harvard Business Review*, pp. 78-90.
- Harm, P.D., & Crede, M. (2010). Emotional intelligence and transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studie*, 17(10), 5-17.
- Cherniss, C., Grimm, L.G., & Liataud, J.P. (2010). Process-design training: A new approach for helping leaders develop emotional and social competence. *Journal of Management*, 29(5), 413-431.
- Mandell, B., & Pherwani, S. (2003). Relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership style: A gender comparison. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 17(3), 387- 404.
- Murensky, C.L. (2000). The relationship of emotional intelligence, personality, critical ability thinking and organizational leadership performance at upper level of management. Dissertation Abstract

- International: Section B: *The Sciences & Engineering*, 61 (2-B), 1121.
- Yung-Shu Wang & Tung-Chun Huang. (2009). The relationship of transformational leadership with group cohesiveness and emotional intelligence. *Social behaviour and personality*, 37(3), 379-392.
- Yukl, G. (2007). *Leadership in Organization* (8th Ed.) Englewood Cliffs. NJ: Prentice Hall.